SWAT-G

A freely and openly available glacier routine for SWAT

Timo Schaffhauser Technical University of Munich Chair of Hydrology & River Basin Management



Motivation



SWAT widely used in alpine & glaciated catchments

 Glacier processes often neglected or insufficiently considered



Past efforts not freely or easily accessible

FAIR principles



Past efforts often focus on simplistic approaches

- Volume-Area scaling
- Static approaches
- Offline-coupling to external glacier models



How suitable is SWAT in these catchments?

Can we improve SWAT's applicability?

SWAT-G to Overcome Current Obstacles

• **SWAT-G** = A revised version of **SWAT** considering:



Glacier Processes!

What do we mean with glacier processes?



- 1. Glacier Mass Balance Routine
 - Melt, Accumulation, Sublimation (daily)
 - . Glacier Evolution Routine
 - (Dynamic) Glacier Change
 - Retreat, Advance (restricts e.g. flow)



Martelltal, Langenferner Glacier. Reference: foto-webcam.eu

Vision of SWAT-G





- Encourage community to...
 - model glaciated basins properly to avoid misapplication in glaciated basins
 - make model code available and easily accessible!
- Provide different approaches for glacier-related processes
 - User flexibility!
- Develop SWAT+-G as soon as possible!





- Do not stick to glaciers only, but...
 - improve snow module simultaneously!
- A lot of efforts efforts have been made in the past, but...
 - work is fragmented and was never coordinated
 - tools were never collected and provided in a coupled way
 - different groups made same work (redundancy)

SWAT-G: Components

Mass Balance Routine

- Melt, Accumulation, Sublimation
- Daily Scale
- Annual Aggregation

Glacier Evolution Routine

- (Dynamic) Glacier Change
- Retreat, Advance (restricts e.g. flow)
- Δh-Parameterization
- Annual Scale

Preprocessing & Technical Implementation

- Initialization of SWAT-G
- Land Use Modification
- Initial Glacier Mass
- Initial Glacier Area
- Subbasin Scale

SWAT-G: Mass Balance



Technical Implementation

- Merged with snow & surface routine
- HRU-based Aggregation per subbasin & year
- New outputs in *output.hru*



Mass Balance Components: Melt

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{W}_{t}}_{\underline{\mathbb{W}_{t}}} \quad EW_{t} = EW_{t-1} - M_{t} \cdot (1 - \beta_{f}) - S_{t} + C_{t}$$

$$M_{t} = \begin{cases} (T_{mx,t} - T_{gmlt}) \cdot b_{gmlt}, & \text{if } T_{mx,t} > T_{gmlt} \text{ and } A_{sc} < A_{gc} \\ 0, & \text{if } T_{mx,t} < T_{gmlt} \text{ or } A_{sc} < A_{gc} \end{cases}$$

Tmx:	Max. Daily Temp. [°C]
Tgmlt:	Threshold Temp of Glacier Melt [°C]
bgmlt:	Ice Melt Factor [mm/(d*°C)]
Asc:	Snow Cover Fraction of Subbasin [-]
Agc:	Glaciated Fraction of Subbasin [-]

$$b_{gmlt} = \frac{(b_{gmlt,mx} + b_{gmlt,mn})}{2} + \frac{(b_{gmlt,mx} - b_{gmlt,mn})}{2} \cdot \sin\left[\frac{2\pi}{365}(t - 81)\right]$$

bgmlt,mx:Melt factor June 21 [mm/(d*C°)]bgmlt,mn:Melt factor December 21 [mm/(d*C°)]T:Day of year [-]

- Degree-Day Approach
- Occurs when HRU snow-free & T_{gmlt} exceeded
- Snow cover (SC) & Glacier cover (GC) comparison
 - E.g.: 70% SC and 80% GC
 - 10% of glacier area can generate melt
- Albedo of ice < albedo of snow
 - Thus: $b_{gmlt} > b_{smlt}$
 - If $b_{gmlt} < b_{smlt}$ then $b_{gmlt} = b_{smlt}$
- Refreezing factor β_f to control high melt rates
 - 0-30% of glacier melt able to refreeze

SWAT-G: Components

Mass Balance Routine

- Melt, Accumulation, Sublimation
- Daily Scale
- Annual Aggregation

Glacier Evolution Routine

- (Dynamic) Glacier Change
- Retreat, Advance (restricts e.g. flow)
- Δh-Parameterization
- Annual Scale

Preprocessing & Technical Implementation

- Initialization of SWAT-G
- Land Use Modification
- Initial Glacier Mass
- Initial Glacier Area
- Subbasin Scale

SWAT-G: Glacier Evolution

= Representation of **spatio-temporal** glacier **dynamics** such as **advance** or **retreat**

Example:



Reference: Huss et al. 2010

Concept

- Annual mass balance changes translated to glacier area changes
 - Elevation-dependent transfer
- Overcomes limitations of static approaches
 - Considers hydro-glaciological feedbacks
 - E.g. Runoff rates affected by area changes
- Spatially-distributed glacier changes

How?

- Δh-Parameterization (Huss et al. 2008)
- Set relationship of ice thickness change & elevation

Ice Thickness Change & Elevation



Glacier Evolution: Δh-Parameterization



Δh-Parameterization: Relationship of spatially distributed ice thickness changes caused by mass balance changes Ice thickness changes highest at glacier terminus

Method Details

- Normalized ice thickness change (Δh) & normalized glacier elevation (E)
- Relationship depends on glacier size
- Mass balance change must equal the $\sum \Delta h \cdot A_{ql}$ of all elevation sections
- Scaling factor to convert dimensionless Δh & update glacier elevation

$$f_s = \frac{dV_a}{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \cdot \Delta h_i}$$

$$h_{i,1} = h_{i,0} + f_s \cdot \Delta h_i$$

If $h_{i,1} \leq 0$ for a section i it is not glacierized anymore (**recession**)

SWAT-G: Components

Mass Balance Routine

- Melt, Accumulation, Sublimation
- Daily Scale
- Annual Aggregation

Glacier Evolution Routine

- (Dynamic) Glacier Change
- Retreat, Advance (restricts e.g. flow)
- Δh-Parameterization
- Annual Scale

Preprocessing & Technical Implementation

- Initialization of SWAT-G
- Land Use Modification
- Initial Glacier Mass
- Initial Glacier Area
- Subbasin Scale

In the following please remember the term: **Elevation Section (ES)**

 ES divide glaciers in elevation-based zones on which the Evolution Module is applied

SWAT-G: Preprocessing for Δ h-Parameterization



New Input Requirements:

Data

- Glacier thickness
- Glacier outlines

Files

2 new files

	\frown	
/ [1 \
ΥF	-	J/
$\mathbf{\setminus}$	<u></u>	

New Preprocessing:

- Define ES spacing
- Modify Land Use map & add new class to crop database
- Determine initial glacier thickness & area per ES

(
		/

New Outputs:

Files

- Modified *output.hru* for daily mass balance results
- New file for annual mass balance changes per ES

Preprocessing: Land Use Modification

Status Quo



Logically, DEM information required here

What we Need

SWAT-G: Example Martelltal

ß

Adequate **discharge** representation in glacier melt-dominated season

\mathcal{L}	
$\Pi $	

Calibration on **mass balance** (anomalies) work relatively well



Plausible elevation-dependent glacier retreat

• E.g. Weaker recession in upper parts



Summary



SWAT-G enables an **appropriate modelling of glaciated basins** ...with a minimum of additional input & processing requirements

Easily & openly accessible to encourage the community to make code
& models available and to foster model development



When using SWAT/SWAT+ in its standard version **in highly glaciated catchments**, be at least careful :)

Outlook

Implementation of further concepts & alternative approaches

Glacier & Snow

SWAT-G+ Transfer

Benchmarking Study for 4 glaciers in the US (will be submitted soon)

Finalize Gitlab Documentation

Thanks for your attention!

Feel free to contact me! t.schaffhauser@tum.de

Feedback, reuse & further development highly appreciated



SWAT-G GitLab Repo



Backup Slides

SWAT-G Application: Martelltal



Basin: 77 km² **Glacier:** 11.7 km² (15%)



Precipitation: ~1400 mm



Melt components crucial Nivo-glacial regime



Data

- Glacier area & mass balance data for Langenferner (~17 years)
- Discharge of 2 gauges

HRUs: 415 | Subbasins: 32



Preprocessing: Define ES & Initialize Glaciers



Define ES spacing: 50 m

Results in 20 ES
2700 m – 3700 m

Extract ice thickness & glacier area for each ES

Note: Glacier Initialization on the subbasin scale

Mass Balance Components: Sublimation & Accumulation

$$EW_t = EW_{t-1} - M_t \cdot (1 - \beta_f) - S_t + C_t$$

 $C_t = SWE_t \cdot f_{acc}$

C:	Accumulation [mm/d]
SWE:	Snow Water Equivalent [mm]
f _{acc} :	Accumulation Factor [-]

$S_t = ETP_t \cdot \alpha$

S:	Sublimation [mm/d]
ETP:	Potential Evapotranspiration [mm/d]
α:	Sublimation Factor [-]

- Improvements likely here
- Accumulation from Luo et al. 2013
 - Alternative from *Wortmann et al. 2016*
- Sublimation from Luo et al. 2013
 - Alternative from Wortmann et al. 2016
- Literature is lacking information here
 - Most papers do not provide infos on sublimation & accumulation but only melt
- Sublimation & accumulation factors of Luo et al. 2013
 - Just the same formulas as for melt factor...

SWAT-G: Mass Balance



Technical Implementation

- Merged with snow routine
- HRU-based
 - Individual storages
 - Aggregation per subbasin & year
- New outputs in *output.hru*



Glacier & Snow Processes on HRU Scale



SWAT-G as Starting Point to Overcome Current Obstacles

• A revised version of **SWAT** considering:



Glacier Processes!

What do we mean with glacier processes?



- **Glacier Mass Balance Routine**
 - Melt, Accumulation, Sublimation (daily)
- **Glacier Evolution Routine**
 - (Dynamic) Glacier Change
 - Retreat, Advance (restricts e.g. flow)
- Efficient integration of glaciers as new spatial object ...without impairing existing spatial units
- Easy to use with a minimum of additional requirements ...and easy to access!



Martelltal, Langenferner Glacier. Reference: foto-webcam.eu

Vision of SWAT-G





- Encourage community to...
 - model glaciated basins properly to avoid misapplication in glaciated basins
 - make model code available and easily accessible!
- Provide different approaches for glacier-related processes
 - User flexibility!
- Develop SWAT+-G as soon as possible!





- Do not stick to glaciers only, but...
 - improve snow module simultaneously!
- A lot of efforts efforts have been made in the past, but...
 - work is fragmented and was never coordinated
 - tools were never collected and provided in a coupled way
 - different groups made same work (redundancy)

Motivation



SWAT widely used in alpine & glaciated catchments

 Glacier processes often neglected or insufficiently considered



Past efforts not freely or easily accessible

FAIR principles



Past efforts often focus on simplistic approaches

- Volume-Area scaling
- Static approaches
- Offline-coupling to external glacier models



How suitable is SWAT in these catchments?

Can we improve SWAT's applicability?