

**FRANÇA** Liberté Égalité Fraternité



## MODELING SOIL TO RIVER CESIUM-137 CONCENTRATIONS

François Guillory<sup>1</sup>, Hugo Lepage<sup>1</sup>, Sabine Sauvage<sup>2</sup>, José Miguel

<u>Sanchez², Clément Fabre³</u>

1 : Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire, PSE-ENV, STAAR/LRTA, BP 3, 13115 Saint Paul Lez Durance, France ;

2 : National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS/CRBE), Toulouse, France ; 3 :Tour du Valat, Arles, France

MEMBRE DE ETSON

#### **General context**

#### [ HAVING MODELS TO PREDICT THE TRANSFERS OF RADIOACTIVITY

EC/IGCE, Roshydromet 1998





Nuclear accidents release lots of Cesium-137, its very persistent in the environment ( $T_{1/2}$ =30.2years) and harmfull



# The IRSN model already deployed: transfer functions (Delmas et al. 2017)



#### NEED TO DEPLOY A NEW MODEL

#### IRSN objectives

- Spatialization of transfers based on environmental parameters
- Consideration of temporal fluctuations
- Integration of **remediation practices** (adaptive)
- IRSN made model
- Relevant for monitoring, crisis response, expertise, and research



#### **Project development**

#### [ A SMALL WATERSHED : THE ARDÈCHE RIVER (2200KM<sup>2 -</sup> FRANCE)



Discretization : 5679 HRUs and 283 sub-basins

Hydrological calibration

Cesium-137 modeling using SWAT outputs

#### Sediment calibration



France

### **Calculation of Cesium-137 concentrations in suspended solids**

BASED ON A TRACE METALS EQUATION (TOMCZAK ET AL. 2021)

<mark>Yellow</mark>: modeled with SWAT

#### **For Cs-137 particulate concentrations:**

- Flow (m<sup>3</sup>/s)
- Suspended solids concentration (g/L)
- Particle size (μm) ——
- Dissolved particular ratio KD (L/kg)
- Source term (Bq/kg):
  - Soil erosion (t/ha)
  - Soil contamination (Bq/kg)
    - Soil sampling campaign



#### **Calculation of Cesium-137 concentrations in suspended solids**

BASED ON A TRACE METALS EQUATION (TOMCZAK ET AL. 2021)



#### **Material and methods**





- DATA FROM FRENCH RIVER MONITORING AND THE OSR (RHÔNE SEDIMENTS OBSERVATORY)
  - Hydrology (1980-2022): calculated by converting water height into flow
  - Suspended sediment concentrations (2016-2022) : calculated using a turbidity meter (A) calibrated with sediment concentration manual sampling.
  - Sediment trap (C) for Cesium-137 values 2016-2022).
    - Submerged for 3weeks-1month to have enough matter to do measures









Lepage et al. 2021

### SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool



#### **SWAT modelling** 11111 ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ Evaporation and 1111 1 1 1 1 PET Transpiration 1 1 1 1.078.3 523.2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Precipitation i / 1.427.8 Between 600-2000 (BRI 2021)(AUCEA Average Curve Number 2007) 83.39 1 1111 1 1 1 HYDROLOGICAL BALANCE 1 / / 1 1 1 11 1 . . . 1 1 1 11 1980-2022 1 / / 444 866 HYDROEAUFRANCE 866.2 18 14 18AA141 Root Zone Infiltration/plant uptake/ Surface Soil moisture redistribution 524.4 Runoff Vadose (unsaturated) Lateral Zone Flow 95.44 Revap from shallow aquifer Percolation to shallow aquifer Return Flow 21.54 285.79 Shallow (unconfined) 250.76 Aquifer 479 (AUCEA 2007) Confining Layer v. ψ. Deep (confined) Flow out of watershed Aquifer Recharge to deep aquifer $\leftarrow$ 14.29 <14.27 (AUCEA All Units mm

IRSN

FRANÇOIS GUILLORY - SWAT - STRASBOURG 10

2017)

#### SWAT modelling

#### [ FLOW



#### **SWAT modelling**

#### [ FLOW





#### **Defining a source term to model Cesium-137**

FIELD CAMPAIGN AND LABORATORY ANALYSES (PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF PARTICLES)

31 cores, cibling 3 major types of land use (Forests, cultivated soils and natural/ untouched)



©François GUILLORY

Cultivated (grapes, land generic, orchard..) Forested (deciduous, evergreen and mixed) Natural (garrigue, hay, range brush, grasses..)





#### **Defining a source term to model Cesium-137**

```
FIELD CAMPAIGN AND LABORATORY ANALYSES
(PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF PARTICLES)
```

#### CAMPAIGN RESULTS



■ Cultivated (n=11) ■ Natural (n=8) ■ Forested (n=12)



#### SWAT outputs [ EROSION RATE (.HRU -> SEDTH)







#### **Defining a source term to model Cesium-137**



#### **SWAT modelling**

PARTICLE SIZE



-We averaged what goes in and out of the last hydrographic section (.sed)



#### **Cesium-137 transfer formula**

RESULTS





#### **Cesium-137 transfer formula**

RESULTS





#### Conclusion

- Cesium-137 concentrations were modelled using SWAT outputs (flow, SSC, particle size, erosion rates)
- Particular and dissolved phase concentrations are in the same magnitude order despite doubts on SS and particle size

#### CRITICS

IRSI

- Suspended sediments modelling
- Are erosion rates and particle size valid?

#### WHAT WE WORK ON

- Adding more dynamism : working with each HRU's features/ add plant transfers
- Coupling it with a nuclear power plant discharge model
- Setting a new project in Japan's contaminated watersheds
- Maybe using a potassium module (an analog of the Cesium)



### Thanks for listenning

- EC/IGCE. (1998). Mapping of Risk Areas in Europe. Roshydromet (Russia)/Minchernobyl (Ukraine)/Belhydromet (Belarus). Climatological Data Publication, 45(2), 150-165.
- EDF, CEA. (2020). Distribution of Nuclear Reactors in France. Energy Infrastructure Report, 12(3), 85-102. DOI:
- Konoplev, A., Kanivets, V., Laptev, G., & Voitsekhovich, O. (2020). Long-Term Dynamics of the Chernobyl-Derived Radionuclides in Rivers and Lakes. *Behavior of Radionuclides in the Environment*, 2, 323–348.
- Delmas, M., Garcia-Sanchez, L., Nicoulaud-Gouin, V., & Onda, Y. (2017). Improving Transfer Functions to Describe Radiocesium Wash-Off Fluxes for the Niida River by a Bayesian Approach. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 167, 100-109. ISSN 0265-931X. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2016.11.002</u>
- Tomczak, W., Boyer, P., Eyrolle, F., Radakovitch, O., Krimissa, M., Lepage, H., Amielh, M., & Anselmet, F. (2021). Modelling of Solid/Liquid Fractionation of Trace Metals for Suspended Sediments According to the Hydro-Sedimentary Conditions of Rivers - Application to 137Cs in the Rhône River (France). *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 145, 105211. ISSN 1364-8152. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2021.105211</u>
- Lepage, H., Gruat, A., Thollet, F., Le Coz, J., Coquery, M., Masson, M., Dabrin, A., Radakovitch, O., Labille, J., Ambrosi, J.P., Delanghe, D., & Raimbault, P. (2022). Concentrations and Fluxes of Suspended Particulate Matter and Associated Contaminants in the Rhône River from Lake Geneva to the Mediterranean Sea. Earth System Science Data, 14(5), 2369–2384. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-2369-2022

#### **Transfer formula**

 $Kd_{g} = 68\ 000$ 

$$[SS_p]_r = (1 - \gamma p)[SS_p] [SS_p]_{nr} = \gamma p[SS_p]$$
 avec  $\gamma p = \left(-\frac{9}{r_p}\right)^3$  si  $r > 9$  et  $\gamma p = 0$  si  $r_p \le 9$ 

 $C_{ss} = \sum_{p} [SS_{p}] . Css_{,p}$ 

$$C_{ss,p} = \frac{(Kd_{o}.C\omega.[SSp]_{r} + Csoil.[SS_{p}]_{nr})}{[SSp]}$$

$$C\omega = \frac{\sum_{P} [SS_{p}]_{r}.Csoil}{1 + Kd_{g} \cdot \sum_{P} [SS_{p}]_{r}} ; \qquad C_{ss_{r}} = Kd_{g}.C\omega$$

(dissolved conc)  

$$C_{soil}$$
  
(conc in soils)  
(thickness of the reactive part)  
 $S_{p]nr}$   
 $(ss_{p})_{nr}$   
 $(ss_{p})_{nr}$ 

$$Kd_a = \frac{C_{ss}}{C\omega}$$

 $Kd_a = \frac{C_{ss}}{C\omega}$ 



KD increases when disharge goes up, although there are more particles, they are less contaminated as they are bigger (bigger transport bigger particles)

98.4% of the Cesium-137 mean annual flux comes from particular discharge

