# Improving SWAT streamflow and nitrate simulations via spatial calibration/validation preliminary results...

Authors: Tássia Mattos Brighenti, Philip W. Gassman, Jeffrey G. Arnold, Janette R. Thompson





#### Iowa Urban FEWS – OVERVIEW

The project is focused on developing sustainable food production systems in the Des Moines Metropolitan Statistical Area (DMMSA), Iowa, USA. Multiple models are being integrated (co-simulation approach) to evaluate the impact of converting cropland, peri-urban and/or urban landscapes to table food production.







#### SWAT model within the Iowa UrbanFEWS:

- Quantify crop growth.
- Hydrological cycling.
- Nutrient and sediment cycling and transport for cropping systems and associated management practices.
- Simulate future land use change scenarios to characterize streamflow, nutrient, sediment load conditions, and yields production.





- **Introduction** Methods Results Conclusion
- Why? The "Corn Belt" region is an important agricultural area in the central United States, characterized by dense networks of drainage tile. Extensive land alterations in this area have generated natural landscape loss, water pollution, and other environmental problems. Tile drainage is responsible for the majority of nitrate load contributions to lowa rivers and streams.
- **How**? Ecohydrological models are key tools for accurate system representation and impact measurement, and SWAT can simulate tile drainage implementation in a watershed-scale.





**Introduction** Methods Results Conclusion

- Why? The "Corn Belt" region is an important agricultural area in the central United States, characterized by dense networks of drainage tile. Extensive land alterations in this area have generated natural landscape loss, water pollution, and other environmental problems.
- This study intends to calibrate and validate the SWAT model for streamflow and nitrate loads for the Des Moines River Basin (DMRB), Iowa, U.S., with focus on tile-drain calculations.

dense networks of drainage tile. Extensive land alterations in this area have generated natural landscape loss, water pollution, and other environmental problems.





Introduction

**Methods** > Results

Conclusion

#### **STUDY AREA**

Des Moines River Basin (DMRB) 31,892 km<sup>2</sup>

- Land use: soybean and corn fields representing together 70%.
- Soil type: Loamy Wisconsin Glacial Till (heavy tile drained).
- Precipitation and evapotranspiration: 873 mm and 670 mm (annual average 1985-2018).







#### SWAT MODEL SETUP AND TILE-DRAIN CONFIGURATION

- Initial model setup: previous soft-calibrated model.
- Tile drainage configuration: spatial validated tile-drain map (86% confidence in tile-drain locations); subbasin location.



a) Spatial distribution of tile drainage (shown as green); b) tile-drain zoom-in for the 12-digit subbasin map; and c) representation of the tile drain and land use map overlap.





#### **IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY**





Methods > Results





Conclusion

# SWAT MODEL CALIBRATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Daily

- Temporal calibration: years 2001 to 2009, DMRB outlet.
- Spatial validation: years 2001 to 2010, DMRB 24 subbasins.

The calibration was composed of 1 iteration of 400 runs to develop best parameters, best ranges, and total uncertainty bands. The 10% best parameters obtained during the calibration process were used to carry out the validation.

• Evaluation: NS, KGE, Pbias, FDC, r-factor, p-factor



# SWAT MODEL CALIBRATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

#### Daily

- Temporal calibration: years 2001 to 2009, DMRB outlet.
- Spatial validation: years 2001 to 2010, DMRB 24 subbasins.

The calibration was composed of 1 iteration of 400 runs to develop best parameters, best ranges, and total uncertainty bands. The 10% best parameters obtained during the calibration process were used to carry out the validation.

• Evaluation: NS, KGE, Pbias, FDC, r-factor, p-factor

Parameter		Calibration range (original and modified)		
		Min.	Max.	
Initial SCS runoff curve number for moisture condition II	rCN2	-0.2	0.2	
Baseflow alpha factor	vALPHA_BF	0.001	0.5	
Manning's "n" value for the main channel	vCH_N2	0.01	0.02	
Soil evaporation compensation factor	vESCO	0.6	1	
The delay time	vGW_DELAY	0	60	
Groundwater "revap" coefficient	vGW_REVAP	0.02	0.2	
Threshold depth of water in the shallow aquifer for "revap" or percolation to the deep aquifer to occur	vREVAPMN	0	1000	
Surface runoff lag coefficient	vSURLAG	0.01	24	
Distance between drains	vSDRAIN	7700	27000	
Soil lateral saturated hydraulic conductivity factor	vLATKSATF	1	3.8	
The daily drainage coefficient:	vDRAIN_CO	13	48	
Tile-drain radius	vRE	25	50	
Tile-drain depth	(fix) DDRAIN	1200	1200	
Time required to drain the soil to field capacity	(fix) TDRAIN	24	24	
Tile-drain lag time	(fix) GDRAIN	48	48	
Impervious layer depth	(fix) DEP_IMP	1200	1200*	



Introduction > Methods > Results > Conclusion

Daily calibration performance - NSE, KGE, Pbias - for original and modified tile-drain calculation methods.

Calibration	NSE	KGE	Pbias	p-factor	r-factor
Original	0.70	0.76	18.5	0.39	0.49
Modified	0.71	0.76	18.3	0.41	0.49





Daily calibration performance - Flow Duration Curve - for original and modified tile-drain calculation methods.



The modified method showed a decrease in the streamflow peaks (below 8% for the best simulation on the FDC).

However, the method resulted in an increase of the streamflow between the 16% and 94% segments on the FDC.

Test (k-s): modified method suggests the equation resulted in a curve with a slightly better fit to the observed data.

13



Introduction > Methods > **Results** > Conclusion

Daily validation performance – p-factor, r-factor - for original and modified tile-drain calculation methods.



- The p-factor r-factor coefficients showed similar behavior for both methods.
- The modified method had higher p-factor values for all gauges, except for the gauge 341 that resulted in the same values for both methods.
- The r-factor was smaller for the original method at 13 gauges, smaller at 2 gauges for the modified method and the same at 9 gauges





Daily validation performance – NSE, Pbias, KGE - for original and modified tile-drain calculation methods.

Conclusion



The daily validation process showed improvement when applying the modified tile-drain equation .

	Original			Modified		
	NSE	Pbias	KGE	NSE	Pbias	KGE
Very good	0	1	-	0	2	-
Good	4	1	-	8	2	-
Satisfactory	12	3	14	11	1	16
Not satisfactory	7	21	10	4	19	8

15





IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY



#### Introduction

Methods **Results** 

Conclusion

#### Manure application data



#### IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY



Introduction

Methods **Results** 

Conclusion

#### Nitrogen validation



#### IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY



## Highlights

- The percentages of baseflow comparing to the water yield are 84% for the original and 87% for the modified methods.
- The daily analysis showed the modified method (Hooghoudt and Kirkham equations) has more accurate spatial variability in representing the hydrological processes at the DMRB.
- A common ground between the methods is that both had difficulty in simulating the streamflow at the less drained areas of the watershed
- These results also underscore the increased challenge for SWAT to replicate the magnitude of the daily streamflow





Conclusion

# Thank you!



Conclusion

#### Methods to calculate flow through subsurface tiles

The SWAT offers two distinct methods: an empirical method defined as the default/original option and a modified physically-based Hooghoudt and Kirkham equations method.

The empirical function composed of four main parameters: 1) tiledrain depth, 2) the time required to drain the soil to field capacity, 3) tile-drain lag time, and 4) impervious layer depth.

The method creates an impermeable layer and simulates the tile flow on days when the height of the water table above the



Figure 1. Diagram showing an example water table calculation after a rainfall event.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

The physically-based modified version simulates tile flow as a function of lateral saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil, profile depth, water table elevation, drain spacing, size, and depth.





Figure 2. (a) Drainage with the Hooghoudt (1940) steady-state equation and (b) drainage with Kirkham's equation (van Schilfgaarde et al., 1957) for a ponded surface.

