

The 2023 International Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) Conference Session G1: Large-Scale Applications



# Implementation of the SWAT Model for sustainable water management of the major river basins in Madagascar

### Rakotoarimanana Zy Harifidy <sup>1</sup>, Ishidaira Hiroshi <sup>2</sup>, Rakotoarimanana Zy Misa Harivelo <sup>3</sup>, Magome Jun <sup>2</sup>, and Souma Kazuyoshi<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Integrated Graduate School of Medicine, Engineering and Agricultural Sciences, University of Yamanashi, Kofu 400-8511, Japan. E-mail: <u>rakzyharifidy@yahoo.fr</u>
<sup>2</sup> Interdisciplinary Centre for River Basin Environment, University of Yamanashi, Kofu 400-8511, Japan
<sup>3</sup> College of Civil Engineering, Tongji University, No. 1239, Si Ping Rd., Shanghai 200092, China

28-30 June 2023, Aarhus, Denmark

# CONTENTS



Introduction And Motivation

- Materials And Methods
- Results And Discussion
- Conclusions And Recommendations
- Limitations And Implications



# Introduction



# Madagascar :

- East coast of Africa (12°-25°S, 43°-51°E);
- Population: 27million (INSTAT, 2019);
- 2 main seasons: wet/hot (Nov-Apr), dry/cool (May-Oct);
- Annual precipitation 1500 mm/y; t 22.64°C (*Randriamarolaza et al., 2022*);
- Annual precipitation decreases over most stations; Max. & min. temperatures increase up to 0.05°C /y and 0.04°C/y (*Raholijao et al., 2019*);
- Hydrological processes and flow regimes poorly understood =>insufficient research and comprehensive data.

- Randriamarolaza, L.Y.A., Aguilar, E., Skrynyk, O., Vicente-Serrano, S.M. and Domínguez-Castro, F., 2022. Indices for daily temperature and precipitation in Madagascar, based on quality-controlled and homogenized data, 1950–2018. International Journal of Climatology, 42(1), pp.265-288. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7243
- Raholijao, N., Arivelo, T.A., Rakotomavo, Z.A.P.H., Voahangin-dRakotoson, D., Srinivasan, G., Shanmugasundaram, J., Dash, I. and Qiu, J., 2019. Les tendances climatiques et les futurs changements climatiques a Madagascar-2019. Government of Madagascar, Antananarivo, Madagascar.[online] URL: https://www.primature.gov.mg/cpgu/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Publication\_FR\_09\_Sept\_Version\_Finale.pdf.

INSTAT : Résultats Globaux Du Recensement Général De La Population Et De L'habitation De 2018 De Madagascar (RGPH-3) Tome 1, INSTAT-CCER, 2019; https://www.instat.mg/p/resultats-definitifs-du-rgph-3

<sup>•</sup> Rakotoarimanana, Z.M.H and Rakotoarimanana, Z.H., 2022. A Review of Environmental Protection and Sustainable Development in Madagascar. J, 5(4), pp.512-531. https://doi.org/10.3390/j5040035





## Total Area: 320373.20 km<sup>2</sup> Number of Subbasins: 144

Bacin	Lat	Lon	Elev	Elev	Drainage	Slope	Longest	
Dasiii	Lal.	LUII.	Min.	Max.	Area	510pe	path	Stations
Name	[aeg.]	[aeg.]	[m]	[m]	[km²]	[%]	[km]	
Sofia	-15.16	48.25	-3	1350	1904	9	145	Ankobakobaka
Mahajamba	-15.15	48.81	217	1759	4915	19	210	Antafiantsalama
Mahavavy	-16.01	45.91	-62	118	18620	2	54	Sitampiky
Maningory	-17.41	48.84	30	1517	9894	13	169	Andromba
Betsiboka	-17.30	48.36	725	1208	19300	13	150	Antsatrana
Manambolo	-19.15	44.93	-22	800	13070	6	164	Ambatolahy
Tsiribihina	-19.76	44.80	-20	458	47560	4	125	Betomba
Mangoro	-19.69	47.51	535	2593	5072	11	186	Tsinjoarivo
Mangoky	-21.74	43.92	-22	690	54100	3	124	Bevoay
Mananara	-22.39	46.23	622	1575	1981	11	142	Sahambano
Onilahy	-23.45	44.21	-22	637	32090	4	161	Tongobory
Mandrare	-25.08	46.37	-22	369	12520	4	36	Amboasary Sud

-25°0'0''S

Source: Watershed delineation using ArcSWAT



## **Motivation**

### **Threats to Basin Sustainability**



#### **Risk of water scarcity**







• Rakotoarimanana, Z.H. and Ishidaira, H., 2022. Analysis of River Basin Management in Madagascar and Lessons Learned from Japan. Water, 14(3), p.449. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14030449.

Rakotoarimanana, Z.H., Ishidaira, H., Magome, J., Souma, K. and Masutani, K., 2022. Assessment of Intra-Basin Water Resources: Case of the Major River Basins in Madagascar. Journal of Japan Society of Civil Engineers, Ser. G (Environmental Research), 78(5), pp.I\_107-I\_115. https://doi.org/10.2208/jscejer.78.5\_I\_107.

120%

100%

80%

60%

40%

20% Upstrea

(%)

Normalized





- To apply the SWAT model to analyze the streamflow & hydrological characteristics of the major river basins in Madagascar
- Assess the implications thereof for sustainable water management.



## **Materials and Methods**





#### SWAT 2012 & ArcGIS version 10.6.1

- Warm-up period: 3 years (1979-1981)
- Simulation lengths: 18 years (1982-1999)
- Output timestep: Monthly

### SUFI-2 algorithm SWAT-CUP

- Model run: 300 simulations
- **Objective function:** NSE= 0.5
- **Procedure**: Global sensitivity analysis

Data type	Description	<b>Resolution/date</b>	Source		
Topography	Digital elevation model (DEM)	3s resolution (10x10 degree tiles)	HydroSHEDS database (Available at <u>https://www.hydrosheds.org/</u> )		
Land-use map	Land-use classification	300 m resolution and 22 classes in 2020	European Space Agency (ESA CCI) (Available at <u>https://www.esa-</u> <u>landcover-cci.org/)</u>		
Soil map	Soil type and texture	0-30cm and 30- 100 cm depth	Harmonized digital soil map of the world, Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO database) (Available at <u>http://www.fao.org/</u> )		
Weather	Daily precipitation, maximum and minimum temperatures, relative humidity, wind, and solar radiation	38 km (daily) 1979–1999	National Centers for Environmental Prediction Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (NCEP/CSFR) <b>287 meteorological stations</b> (Available at <u>https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/climate-forecast-system-reanalysis-cfsr</u> )		
Discharge	Monthly observed runoff and point inlet	1979–1999	Madagascar National Meteorological and Hydrological Service / Global runoff database <b>12 hydrometric stations</b> (Available at <u>https://portal.grdc.bafg.de/application</u> <u>s/public.html?publicuser=PublicUser</u> <u>#dataDownload/Home</u> )		



#### 8 classes of land use

Legend

Classes

Watershed

Basin

AGRL

FRST

RNGE

WETL

URBN

RNGB

WATR

50°0'0"E

15°0'0''S

20°0'0''S

25°0'0"S-

200

45°0'0"E

### Hydrologic Response Unit (HRU)

Option Thresholds: 5 / 5 / 5 [%] Number of HRUs: 1774





Figure: SWAT LU/LC Map/Soil Map/Slope Map

### Land Use Summary of the Major River Basins





### Performance ratings of hydrologic models for a monthly time step



Performance rating	R <sup>2</sup>	NSE	PBIAS %
Very Good	0.7 < R <sup>2</sup> < 1	0.75 < NSE ≤ 1.00	PBIAS ≤ ±10
Good	0.6 < R <sup>2</sup> < 0.7	0.65 < NSE ≤ 0.75	$\pm 10 \le PBIAS \le \pm 15$
Satisfactory	0.5 < R <sup>2</sup> < 0.6	0.50 < NSE ≤ 0.65	$\pm 15 \le PBIAS \le \pm 25$
Unsatisfactory	R <sup>2</sup> < 0.5	NSE ≤ 0.50	PBIAS ≥ ±25

Van Liew et al., 2003; Moriasi et al. 2007

# **P-factor ≥ 0.7** and **R-factor ≤ 1.5: satisfactory** for streamflow calibration *Abbaspour et al., 2007*

Van Liew, M.W. and Garbrecht, J., 2003. Hydrologic simulation of the little Washita river experimental watershed using SWAT 1. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 39(2), pp.413-426. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2003.tb04395.x.

<sup>•</sup> Moriasi, D.N., Arnold, J.G., Van Liew, M.W., Bingner, R.L., Harmel, R.D. and Veith, T.L., 2007. Model evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations. Transactions of the ASABE, 50(3), pp.885-900.

Abbaspour, K.C.; Rouholahnejad, E.; Vaghefi, S.; Srinivasan, R.; Yang, H.; Kløve, B. A continental-scale hydrology and water quality model for Europe: Calibration and uncertainty of a high-resolution large-scale SWAT model. J. Hydrol. 2015, 524, 733–752. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.09.014.



## Parameters for calibration and their initial ranges

Parameter_Name	Description	Min_value	Max_value
1:R_CN2.mgt	Soil Conservation Service (SCS) runoff curve number for moisture condition II	-0.2	0.2
2:VALPHA_BF.gw	Baseflow alpha factor (days)	0	1
3:RSOL_AWC().sol	Available water capacity of the soil layer (mm mm-1)	0	1
4:VESCO.hru	Soil evaporation compensation factor	0	1
5:VGW_REVAP.gw	Groundwater "revap" coefficient	0.02	0.2
6:VSURLAG.bsn	Surface runoff lag time	0.05	24
7:VGW_DELAY.gw	Groundwater delay (days)	0	500
8:ROV_N.hru	Manning's "n" value for overland flow	0.01	30
9:VREVAPMN.gw	Threshold depth of water in the shallow aquifer for "revap" to occur (mm)	0	500
10:VGWQMN.gw	Threshold depth of water in the shallow aquifer required for return flow to occur (mm)	0	5000
11:VRCHRG_DP.gw	Deep aquifer percolation fraction	0	1

Results

\*R: Relative (the existing parameter value is multiplied by 1+ a given value)

\*V: Replace (the existing parameter value is replaced by a given value)

- Six most sensitive parameters: CN2, SOL\_AWC, OV\_N, ESCO, GW\_DELAY, and RCHRG\_DP.
- Findings consistent with the outcomes of a prior study in the Mangoky basin (Rabezanahary et al., 2021).

### Modeling results after calibration &validation of monthly discharge



- Streamflow: highly variable and continuously changed over time (1982-1999);
- Peak flows: not accurately predicted by the SWAT model (Jan-Feb);
- **Overestimation:** Sofia, Mahajamba, Mahavavy, Manambolo, Mangoky, and Tsiribihina basins (0.5 < PBIAS<30.7)=> Basin located in the North, West, and Southwest

### Modeling results (Cont.)



- Under-estimation of peak flow: Betsiboka, Maningory, Mangoro, Onilahy, Mananara, and Mandrare basins (-1.1< PBIAS<-130.2)=> Basin in the central highland, East, and South;
- Insufficient observed data & fewer observed flow covered by the 95PPU (in the South);
- Streamflow: increased rapidly in all basins from 1986.



### Statistical parameters



14



Results (NSE<0) consistent with the outcomes of the study carried out by Xie et al., (2012).

### Flow Duration Curves

### Flow duration curve of monthly flow for the major river basins (1982–1999)







# Monthly and annual average water balance components after calibration for the entire watershed



#### Ratios of the annual average of the total rainfall

ET	LatQ	GWQ	DAR	Revap
57%	10%	23%	16%	6%



# **Conclusions and Recommendations**



•The SWAT model efficiently simulated streamflow across the major river basins despite data insufficiency.

•Statistical metrics (P-factor, R- factor, NSE, R<sup>2</sup>, and PBIAS) provided unsatisfactory results for Manambolo, Onilahy, Mananara, and Mandrare basins.

=> Further work needs to be done for model enhancement.

•NCEP-CFSR data provide reasonable agreements between the simulated and the observed streamflow

• Water resources are at risk of depletion in 8 basins :

Mahavavy, Manambolo, Maningory, Mangoro, Tsiribihina, Mangoky, Onilahy, Mandrare

=>Develop water management plans appropriate to the specific characteristics of each river basin





## Limitations



- The quality and accuracy of the input data used to run the model (*Arnold et al., 1998a*);
- Challenges with observed discharge data used for calibration;
- SWAT model uncertainties, assumptions, & parameterization (*Jacomino and Fields*, 1997).

## Next step

- Improvement of the model (use of another rainfall data);
- Assess the potential effect of climate change on future water demand and supplies;
- Investigate the impact of LULC change on streamflow.

Arnold, J.G., Srinivasan, R., Muttiah, R.S. and Williams, J.R., 1998. Large area hydrologic modeling and assessment part I: model development 1. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 34(1), pp.73-89. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1998.tb05961.x

Jacomino, V.M.F. and Fields, D.E., 1997. A critical approach to the calibration of a watershed model 1. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 33(1), pp.143-154.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** The funding from the **Japan Science and Technology Agency** (JST), the establishment of University fellowship towards the creation of science and technology innovation, "Grant Number JPMJFS2117", is greatly acknowledged.

# THANKS FOR YOUR KIND ATTENTION!

### **QUESTIONS AND SUGGESTIONS**

Email: rakzyharifidy@yahoo.fr



