

INSTITUTE OF METEOROLOGY AND WATER MANAGEMENT in Poland



National Research Institute

Topic : Sediment, Nutrients, and Carbon

Title : Seasonal variability of nutrients load discharged into the surface waters of Polish rivers

AUTHORS: Joanna Gębala MSc Paulina Orlińska-Woźniak MSc Paweł Wilk MSc Prof. Mieczysław S. Ostojski



Seasonal variability of nutrients load discharged into the surface waters of Polish rivers

Objective

Variability analysis of total nitrogen (TN) loads, depending on the season and the stage of growing. Analysis takes into account the variability of the stream flow during a specified period.

Analyses were performed for three pilot catchments using the Macromodel DNS/SWAT model (Soil and Water Assessment Tool)

Makromodel DNS: connection to coherent module systems describing:

- individual processes of nutrients,
- the spatial nature of land use,
- transport of nutrients in catchement (soil, rivers)

Makromodel DNS modules:

- Data completion modules
- Mathematical modeling modules
- Computational modules





Introduction

Polish participation in the pollution of the Baltic Sea loads of nutrients and progressive process of eutrophication



EUTROPHISATION (def.) – the process of enrichment of water with nutrients, resulting in an increase in the water's fertility and causing live disorders in organisms. Moreover, it contributes to the fundamental changes in the ecosystems energy, which brings a lot of negative changes in ecosystems



The content of nitrogen compounds in the Baltic Sea waters has increased about 10-times in comparison to 19th century. In 2008, the total inflow to the Baltic Sea amounted to **859 600t N/year** of which the Polish contribution was **19%**, which places Poland in the first place in terms of volume of the loads



Introduction cd

Dynamics of Nitrogen availability and Nitrogen uptake by plants



Studies have shown a significant impact of plants on the size of nitrogen compounds transfered from the catchment area to surface waters

Plants nitrogen uptake during the growing season is a three-phase process:



This regularities encourage to carry out an analysis regarding relationship between the processes in catchement area and nitrogen content in water depending on the phase of plant vegetation

> **Source:** Lower Elkhorn, Nartural Resources District, Sullivan D.M., Hart J.M., Christensen N.W., Nitrogen Uptake and Utilization; A Pacific Northwest Extension publication, Oregon, Idaho, Washington

Material and methods

Study area









A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			
		Area	Reda - 485,55 km2
Sec. des 1		Period and time step	01.01.2002 - 31.12.2005, daily time step
		Elevation	0-234 m
		Land use	Agriculture: 37%, Forest:40%,
		Soil type	Loamy sand: 42,7%, sand: 45,3%, loam:
		Climate	2000-2005, Precipitation: 810.4 mm
	4	Monitoring	Wejcherowo:Daily flow, daily TN,TP
k s	oamy sand		
	oan neavy loan	Area	Rega - 2766,8 km2
		Period and time step	01.01.1995-31.12.2009, daily time step
A BACK	}	Elevation	0-199 m
A CLARKER		Land use	Agriculture: 54,5% Forest: 32%
		Soil type	Loamy sand: 50,7%, sand: 39%, loam: 10
AN ALLAND		Climate	1995-2009, Precipitation: 739.1 mm
AND CARLON	6	Monitoring	Trzebiatów, Resko Daily flow, daily TN, TF
		Area	Middle Warta - 6039 km ²
		Period and time step	01.01.2002 - 31.12.2009, daily time step
		Elevation	0-166 m
		Land use	Agriculture: 72.82% Forest: 20.04 %
		Soil type	Loamy sand: 30,5%, sand: 33,6%, loam: loam: 2.2%
		Climate	2002-2009, Precipitation: 544.5 mm
		Monitoring	Most Rocha, Oborniki : Daily flow, daily T

1	0-234 m
;	Agriculture: 37%, Forest:40%,
	Loamy sand: 42,7%, sand: 45,3%, loam: 12%
	2000-2005, Precipitation: 810.4 mm
Ig	Wejcherowo:Daily flow, daily TN,TP
	Rega - 2766,8 km2
nd time step	01.01.1995– 31.12.2009 , daily time step
1	0-199 m
;	Agriculture: 54,5% Forest: 32%
	Learny agendy EQ 79/ agendy 200/ learny 10 20/
	Loanny Sand. 50,7%, Sand. 59%, Ioann. 10,2%
	1995-2009, Precipitation: 739.1 mm

Area	Middle Warta - 6039 km ²
Period and time step	01.01.2002 – 31.12.2009, daily time step
Elevation	0-166 m
Land use	Agriculture: 72.82% Forest: 20.04 %
Soil type	Loamy sand: 30,5%, sand: 33,6%, loam: 33,6% heavy loam: 2.2%
Climate	2002-2009, Precipitation: 544.5 mm
Monitoring	Most Rocha, Oborniki : Daily flow, daily TN,TP

Material and methods

Evaluation methods of models



Obtaining reliable modeling results requires comparing them with the monitoring data by the step of:



- I. Calibration parameterization with a view to obtain the greatest convergence of simulations and observations
- II. Verification checking whether the model is a good enough representation of reality – on independent data
- III. Validation the final step performed at a different point than the calibration step

Material and methods

Evaluation methods of models

EVALUATION STATISTICS





Matching compability between observed

and simulated data

Data variability assessment between observed

Percentage of overestimation and underestimation of variables

Bias coefficient (PBIAS)

$$R^{2} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i} (y_{i}^{obs} - y_{sr}^{obs})(y_{i}^{sim} - y_{sr}^{sim})\right)^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i} (y_{i}^{obs} - y_{sr}^{obs})^{2}\right) \left(\sum_{i} (y_{i}^{sim} - y_{sr}^{sim})^{2}\right)}$$

and simulated data

Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient (NSE)

$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i} (y_i^{obs} - y_i^{sim})^2}{\sum_{i} (y_i^{obs} - y_{sr}^{obs})^2} \qquad PBIAS = \overline{\sum_{i} (y_i^{obs} - y_{sr}^{obs})^2}$$

 $PBIAS = \frac{\sum_{i} (y_i^{obs} - y_i^{sim})}{\sum_{i} y_i^{obs}} 100\%$

Even a single error, seen as a deviation of simulation from observation, should not result in signifficant statistical errors in the results and final conclusions

In statistical analysis mean winsorized was applied

Robust (Hubert): insensitivity to small deviations from the assumptions - achieving relative insensitivity of robust estimator to:

- > a small number of observations of large deviations suspected of being erroneous observations
- a large number of relatively small deviations in observations e.g as a results of rounding data in a sample

$$W_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \left((g+1)X_{(g+1)} + \sum_{i=g+2}^{n-g-1} X_{(i)} + (g+1)X_{(g+1)} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=g+2}^{n-g-1} X'_{(i)} \quad \text{where:} \quad X'_{(i)} = \begin{cases} X_{(i)} & dla & g+1 \le i \le n-g \\ X_{(g)} & dla & i \le n \\ X_{(n-g-1)} & dla & i \ge n-g+1 \end{cases}$$

Calculations are based on the calculation of the relevant statistical measures of the modified value sets



Results: Calibration, Verification – Stream flow

Results: Calibration, Verification – Total Nitrogen

Stage Statistical measures Stream flow TN Rega R ² 0.81 0.50 Verif. NSE 0.47 0.00 PBIAS 15.00 23.32 Verif. NSE 0.87 NSE 0.87 0.55 Valid. NSE 0.00 NSE 0.75 0.47 PBIAS -11.19 -13.06 Valid. NSE 0.00 0.38 PBIAS 2.53 28.39 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.65 NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.66 PBIAS 0.04 0.14 Reda R ² 0.93 0.61 PBIAS 0.04 0.14 1.057 PBIAS 0.059 0.061						_			
Nickle Rega How Name Rega Calibr. NSE 0.47 0.00 PBIAS 15.00 23.32 Verif. NSE 0.75 0.47 PBIAS 11.19 -13.06 Valid. NSE 0.00 0.38 Valid. NSE 0.91 0.59 Valid. NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 2.53 28.39 PBIAS 0.60 0.57 NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 0.60 0.57 Verif. NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 0.81 0.57 Valid. NSE 0.81 0.57 PBIAS 0.81 0.57 PBIAS 0.59 0.40 PBIAS 0.81 0.57 PBIAS 0.81 0.57 PBIAS 0.81 0.57 PBIAS 0.81 0.57 PBIAS	Catch_	Stage	Statistical	Stream	TN		45 000	,	
Rega R ² 0.81 0.50 Widdle NSE 0.47 0.00 Verif. NSE 0.87 0.55 NSE 0.75 0.47 Valid. NSE 0.75 0.47 Valid. NSE 0.75 0.47 Valid. NSE 0.75 0.47 Valid. NSE 0.00 0.38 PBIAS 2.53 28.39 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 NSE 0.86 0.06 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 Verif. NSE 0.86 0.06 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 0.06 PBIAS 22 12 0.30 0.60 PBIAS 21 23 21 23 Valid. NSE - - - Valid. NSE 0.300 -		Olago	measures	flow			40 000 +		• obse
Rega Calibr. NSE 0.47 0.00 PBIAS 15.00 23.32 Verif. NSE 0.87 0.55 Valid. PBIAS 11.19 -13.06 Rega R ² 0.69 0.57 Valid. NSE 0.00 0.38 PBIAS 2.53 28.39 PBIAS 0.66 0.91 0.57 PBIAS 0.01 0.59 0.47 PBIAS 0.51 0.47 0.93 0.66 PBIAS 0.607 -0.44 0.93 0.66 PBIAS 0.607 -0.44 0.93 0.66 PBIAS 0.81 0.57 0.93 0.66 PBIAS 0.84 0.14 0.99	Rega		R ²	0.81	0.50	d [kg/	30 000 -	•	
PBIAS 15.00 23.32 Rega R ² 0.87 0.55 NSE 0.75 0.47 PBIAS 11.19 -13.06 Valid. NSE 0.00 0.38 PBIAS 2.53 28.39 Valid. NSE 0.91 0.57 Valid. NSE 0.00 0.38 PBIAS 2.53 28.39 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.65 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.65 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.65 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.66 PBIAS 0.85 0.06 PBIAS 0.85 0.06 PBIAS 0.414 PBIAS 0.67 PBIAS 0.42 PBIAS 0.64 PBIAS PBIAS 0.30 -2.37		Calibr.	NSE	0.47	0.00	en Loa	25 000 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
$ { { Rega } } { { { Verif. } } } { { { R2 } \\ NSE \\ Valid. } } { { R2 } \\ 0.69 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.38 \\ PBIAS \\ 2.53 \\ 28.39 \\ PBIAS \\ 2.53 \\ 28.39 \\ 0.65 \\ PBIAS $			PBIAS	15.00	23.32	litroge	20 000		J
Rega Verif. NSE 0.75 0.47 PBIAS -11.19 -13.06 Reda R ² 0.69 0.57 Valid. R ² 0.69 0.57 Valid. R ² 0.93 0.65 PBIAS 2.53 28.39 Reda R ² 0.93 0.65 PBIAS 0.57 0.447 NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 6.07 0.444 Verif. NSE 0.81 0.57 PBIAS 0.60 0.414 0.59 Valid. NSE 0.81 0.57 PBIAS 0.84 0.14 0.59 Valid. NSE 0.85 0.06 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 0.60 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 0.40 PBIAS 2.1 2.3 2.4 Valid. NSE 0.30 -2.37 PBIAS 2.1 2.3 <td rowspan="2">Verif.</td> <td>R²</td> <td>0.87</td> <td>0.55</td> <td>Total N</td> <td>10 000 -</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Verif.	R ²	0.87	0.55	Total N	10 000 -		
PBIAS -11.19 -13.06 R ² 0.69 0.57 Valid. NSE 0.00 0.38 PBIAS 2.53 28.39 Calibr. NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.65 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.65 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 R ² 0.93 0.65 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 R ² 0.93 0.65 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 R ² 0.94 0.47 Valid. NSE 0.85 0.06 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 0.60 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0			NSE	0.75	0.47		5 000	Here we have the second of the	W N
Widdle R ² 0.69 0.57 Valid. NSE 0.00 0.38 PBIAS 2.53 28.39 Calibr. NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.65 NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.81 Varia R ² 0.93 0.81 Valid. NSE 0.81 0.57 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 Valid. NSE 0.81 0.57 PBIAS -0.85 0.06 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 Ocalibr. NSE 0.37 -1.13 PBIAS 22 12 Reda R ² 0.70 0.30 Valid. NSE 0.30 -2.37 PBIAS 21 23 -3 -3 Valid. NSE 0.30 <		11	PBIAS	-11.19	-13.06		ې بې		5 5 5 5
Valid. NSE 0.00 0.38 PBIAS 2.53 28.39 Calibr. R2 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 Widdle R2 0.93 0.85 Verif. NSE 0.81 0.57 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 Valid. R2 0.94 0.47 Valid. R2 0.99 0.40 R2 0.99 0.40		1111	R ²	0.69	0.57		10-100		10-600 10-600
Widdle Warta R ² 0.93 0.65 0.84 Verif. NSE 0.91 0.59 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.81 Verif. NSE 0.81 0.57 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 R ² 0.93 0.81 Verif. NSE 0.81 0.57 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 R ² 0.94 0.47 Valid. R ² 0.94 0.47 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 Reda R ² 0.59 0.40 PBIAS 22 12 Valid. NSE 0.30 -2.37 PBIAS 21 23 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000		Valid.	NSE	0.00	0.38	2	250 000		
Middle R ² 0.93 0.65 PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 R ² 0.93 0.81 Variat R ² 0.93 0.81 Variation R ² 0.93 0.81 Variation R ² 0.93 0.81 Variation R ² 0.94 0.47 Valiation R ² 0.94 0.47 Valiation R ² 0.94 0.47 Valiation R ² 0.94 0.47 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 R ² 0.59 0.400 PBIAS 22 12 R ² 0.300 -2.37 PBIAS 21 23 Valiation R ² - -		1111	PBIAS	2.53	28.39				Simu
Middle Warta Calibr. NSE 0.91 0.59 Widdle Warta R ² 0.93 0.81 0.57 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 R ² 0.94 0.47 Valid. NSE 0.85 0.06 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 R ² 0.59 0.40 Calibr. NSE 0.37 PBIAS 22 12 PBIAS 22 12 Verif. NSE 0.30 PBIAS 21 23 Valid. NSE - R ² - - Valid. NSE 0.30 Valid. NSE 0.30 -2.37 PBIAS 21 23 - - Valid. NSE - - -		1111	R ²	0.93	0.65	[kg/d]	200 000	• • N	 Obs
Middle Warta PBIAS 6.07 -0.44 NSE 0.81 0.57 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 R2 0.94 0.47 Valid. NSE 0.85 0.06 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 PBIAS 22 12 Reda R ² 0.70 0.30 Verif. NSE 0.30 -2.37 PBIAS 21 23 Valid. NSE - NSE - -		Calibr.	NSE	0.91	0.59	spec	150 000		
Middle Warta R ² 0.93 0.81 Warta R ² 0.93 0.81 0.57 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 -0.84 0.14 Reda R ² 0.93 0.81 0.57 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 -0.85 0.06 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 0.06 -0.80 0.06 -0.80 0.06 -0.80 0.06 -0.80 0.06 -0.80 0.06 -0.80 0.06 -0.80 0.06 -0.80 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.06 -0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 -0.90 -0.90		1111	PBIAS	6.07	-0.44	genk			
Widdle Warta Verif. NSE 0.81 0.57 PBIAS -0.84 0.14 R2 0.94 0.47 Valid. NSE 0.85 0.06 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 Reda R2 0.59 0.40 NSE 0.37 -1.13 PBIAS 22 12 Reda R2 0.70 0.30 Verif. NSE 0.30 -2.37 PBIAS 21 23 Valid. R2 - NSE - - Valid. NSE -		1111	R ²	0.93	0.81	Nit o	100 000		1.
Reda R ² 0.94 0.14 R ² 0.94 0.47 Valid. NSE 0.85 0.06 PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 R ² 0.59 0.40 R ² 0.70 0.30 PBIAS 22 12 Reda R ² 0.70 0.30 Verif. NSE 0.30 -2.37 PBIAS 21 23 Valid. NSE - NSE - - Valid. NSE -	Middle	Verif.	NSE	0.81	0.57	Total	50 000		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Walla	1111	PBIAS	-0.84	0.14		_	وربالا كلمسط المسيطة متجيسيا الشمسيدية بسميصا التعميرية التبعيدة المتعادية	۸ کر
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Valid.	R ²	0.94	0.47	1	0 -		3 5 5
PBIAS 14.51 -0.58 Reda R ² 0.59 0.40 NSE 0.37 -1.13 PBIAS 22 12 Reda R ² 0.70 0.30 Verif. NSE 0.30 -2.37 PBIAS 21 23 Valid. R ² - NSE - -			NSE	0.85	0.06			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9-01-9 9-01-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			PBIAS	14.51	-0.58	1	200	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	200
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			R ²	0.59	0.40	1	500		Sim
PBIAS 22 12 Reda R ² 0.70 0.30 Verif. R ² 0.30 -2.37 PBIAS 21 23 Valid. R ² - - Valid. R ² - -		Calibr.	NSE	0.37	-1.13	Ē	450 400		• Obs
Reda R^2 0.70 0.30 Verif. R^2 0.30 -2.37 PBIAS 21 23 R^2 - - Valid. R^2 - - Valid. R^2 - -			PBIAS	22	12	 }gal] be	350		
Reda Verif. NSE 0.30 -2.37 p_{BIAS} 21 23 Valid. R ² - -	Reda		R ²	0.70	0.30		300 250		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Verif.	NSE	0.30	-2.37	tal Nitr	200		
R ² - - Valid. NSE - -			PBIAS	21	23	- ²	150 100		5
Valid. NSE V 0-10-10-20-2 10-20-20-2 10-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20			R ²	-	-		50	and the second of the second o	4
		Valid.	NSE	-	-	-	0		1 <u>1</u> 1
			PBIAS	-	-	-		2000-01 2000-05 2000-05 2000-01-05 2001-03 2001-03 2001-03 2001-03 2001-03 2001-03 2002-05 2002-03-03 2002-03-03 2003-00 2000-000-000-000-000-000-000-000-000	2005-07

Results

Cumulative N curve



Contribution of total nitrogen in the outflow of the area was analyzed on the basis of :

I. Cumulative N curve of total nitrogen loads was created for the period 01.01.2002 – 31.12.2005 r. for pilot rivers catchements



The amount of total nitrogen loads in the outflow profiles for the Rega and the Middle Warta catchements is stabilizing in the months from April to October. This coincides with the growing season

"Flattening period" - this phenomenon is defined as a period of stabilization of nitrogen loads in outflow profiles in catchments

Results



Total Nitrogen loads for the Rega and the Middle Warta catchments

- 1. Retention increases in growing season → higher loads of total nitrogen are observed in winter months than in summertime
- 2. Total precipitation during average flattening periods is higher than in winter periods
- 3. Streamflows during average flattening periods are lower

This confirms significant contribution of plants within the basin, including arable crops, in the retention of water and nitrogen compounds

Results

Total Nitrogen loads for the Reda catchments



Lack of noticeable retention of total nitrogen in the analysed periods

This may be due to:

- many uncontroled years of excessive soil fertilization in the area this could cause the percolation of nitrogen compounds through the deeper layers of soil. Though subsurface runoffs are rather evenly washed into the river,
- subsurface runoffs are not as dynamic as the surface runoffs and are dependent on the season and the growing season

	Average f	or Poland	REDA					
Soil profile	The mineral nitrogen contents Nmin (NO3-N + NH4-N) [mg/kg]							
	spring	autumn	spring	autumn				
0-30	9.0	11.6	16.7	19.4				
30-60	6.7	6.9	8.2	11.6				
60-90	5.8	5.1	8.9	10.2				



- □ Retention of water coming from rainfall by plants in the catchment is important from the point of view of reducing the total nitrogen loads in surface waters,
- □ After the end of the growing season and the collection of crops from the catchment area, there comes a period of an increase of total nitrogen loads in waters caused by increase of surface runoffs,
- Even short-term retention is a desirable phenomenon in the environment. Storing water from rainfall in the soil and thus reducing surface runoffs of nitrogen compounds coming from fertilizers, allows a better use of fertilizers by plants,
- The phenomenon of "flattening" is not always present and depends very often on the amount of nitrogen compounds available in the soil and processes of leaching to waters,
- The size of the "flattening" phenomenon allows to detemine the relations between the amount of exported nitrogen compounds into water, depending on the season, extreme weather phenomena and the periods of increasing nitrogen uptakes by plants.



Thank you for your attention!

Contact: Joanna Gębala

IMGW PIB 01-673 Warszawa, ul.: Podleśna 61 Oddział w Krakowie 30-215 Kraków, ul. Piotra Borowego 14 tel.: (012) 63 98 220 joanna.gebala@imgw.pl www.imgw.pl