SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool

Linking field and watershed processes in SWAT+ for the next CEAP national cropland assessment

J.G. Arnold, K. Bieger, M.J. White, R. Srinivasan, and P.M. Allen



Outline

- Modular Code
- Object Connections
- File Input/Output Relational Structure
- Calibration File
- 3 Tier Approach
- Decision Table for Management
- Use in USDA National Conservation Assessment (CEAP)



- FORTRAN continue as language of choice for scientists/engineers.
- MODULAR Extensive use of data structures and modules. Easier to maintain, link to other models, and add process subroutines.
- **RECODING** Spatial objects with new input/output data structure is complete. Continue recoding process subroutines and modules.
- VERSION CONTROL Bit Bucket
- FACILITATE maintenance of code and input files, linkage of SWAT and other models, addition of new process subroutines



 HRUs, aquifers, channels, reservoirs, etc. are separate spatial objects → flexible spatial representation of interactions and processes within a watershed using "connect" files





SWAT+ Input files

SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool	SOIL & WATER ASSESSMENT TOOL	Advantages of SWAT+
 One file for each data type for each object 	 One file for each data type with one line for each object 	 Reduced number of input files Decrease in run time Data files can be maintained as databases

SWAT+ Output files

SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool	SOIL & WATER ASSESSMENT TOOL	Advantages of SWAT+
 One file for each object Select variables Select output time step 	 Splits hru output into water balance, nutrient balance, losses, and plant/weather 	 Basin, subbasin, and hru files are identical Output multiple time steps in same run All output files are spreadsheet ready

Relational Land Use Data



Field

Calibration

SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool	SOIL & WATER ASSESSMENT TOOL	Advantages of SWAT+
 Changes of parameter values made in the original data file(s) 	 Changes of parameter values listed in cali- bration file that over- rides original values 	 Rapid model calibration Better tracking of modified parameters Conditional changes based on land use and soil texture

Variable	<u>Change_Type</u>	Change	HRU's
CN2	ABS_VAL	-4	1-2000
	<u>ditions</u> Land Use = 'Fores HSG = 'A'	st'	



3 Tier Approach

Tier 3: HRU – Full carbon, nutrient and constituent simulation. Comprehensive management.

Tier 2: HRU-LTE – Water balance and plant growth. Computationally efficient with minimal input. Currently developing a simple nutrient component.

Tier 1: Export coefficients and delivery ratios -Average annual loads and deliveries through channels and reservoirs. Commonly used in optimizing location of conservation practices.

All Tiers are modules in SWAT+, use the same connect files, and can be utilized in the same simulation.

Decision Tables

Precise, compact way to model complex rule sets and their corresponding actions

CONDITIONS	ALTERNATIVES	<u>Conditional Variables</u>
ACTIONS	ACTION ENTRIES	soil_water soil_p w_stress n_applied month biomass
Actions irrigate release fertilize plant harvest tillage fire grow_init grow_end drainage lu change	Alternatives < > = Action Entrie yes no	jday cover hu_plant lai hu_base0 vol year_rot flow year_cal lat year_seq long prob elev land_use day_len ch_use plant n_stress plant_type soil_n

Advantages of Decision tables

- The structure of a decision table can be easily understood by model users. Decision tables were developed over 50 years ago, and there is considerable literature and tutorials available on-line related to developing decision tables.
- 2. Decision tables more accurately represent complex, real world decision making.
- 3. The code is more modular and easier to maintain than code to simulate management in existing land management models.
- 4. The code to implement decision tables is more efficient than languages developed for specific river and reservoir models.
- 5. Decision tables can be easily maintained and supported.

CEAP II National Cropland Assessment (SWAT+ Input File Structure)

Downscaling from 8-digit subwatersheds (3,500 km²) to 12-digits (75 km²)



CEAP II National Cropland Assessment

- Use individual rain gages
- Model channel processes on lower order streams
- Model channel erosion and valley bottom deposition within the 12-digits

Example: 8-digit vs. 12-digit Subwatershed Configurations for the Raccoon River Watershed in West Central Iowa



CEAP II National Cropland Assessment

- Elimination of 8-digit Delivery Ratio
- Simulate processes from edge-of-field to 12-digit outlet.
- Channel (gully/ditch) leaving field, each first order channel, higher orders, and main routing channel





CEAP II National Cropland Assessment Detailed output of budgets within the 8-digit including Sankey diagram from Trimble.





- Dynamic Land Use Updates and Scenario Analysis Using decision tables
- Soft Calibration Water, Sediment and Nutrient budgets
- Real Time Simulation 10 km² grid of the U.S. using NEXRAD inputs to current day. Short term projecting future with weather forecasts
- QGIS and SWAT+CUP release this year

Thank you for your attention!

jeff.arnold@ars.usda.gov kbieger@brc.tamus.edu

CEAP II National Cropland Assessment

Simulation of "non-classical" hydrography. Playa lakes, non-draining lakes, no hydrography, all wetland, etc.



CEAP II National Cropland Assessment

New SWAT+ structure will allow simulation of legacy sediment and nutrients.



New Processes in SWAT+

Channel Downcutting and Widening Gully Headcut

Flood Plain – Overbank





K. MICHAELIDES AND J. WAINWRIGHT



Channel belt

b.





Simulation of "non-classical" hydrography. Playa lakes, non-draining lakes, no hydrography, all wetland, etc











Simulating Constituents Pesticides, Pathogens, Metals and Salts

SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool	SOIL & WATER ASSESSMENT TOOL	Advantages of SWAT+
 Limited number of constituents that can be simulated and routed at the same time No simulation of salt 	 Definition of suites of constituents that will be simulated for each object Simulation of salt as a constituent 	 More comprehensive simulation of constituents Routing of more than one pesticide at the same time

Watershed configuration



SWAT Architecture and Basin Erosion-Nutrient Framework



Watershed configuration

SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool	SOIL & WATER ASSESSMENT TOOL	Advantages of SWAT+
 Subdivision of subbasins into HRUs Water areas defined as HRUs 	 Separation of water and land areas within subbasins Water areas defined as ponds/ reservoirs Definition of LSUs to aggregate HRUs 	 More realistic simulation of water areas Improved simulation of landscape position, overland routing, and floodplain processes
 HRUs represented by their entire area within a LSU during calculation of land phase processes 	 HRUs represented by a contiguous field with user-defined dimensions, actual HRU area used as expansion factor 	 Calculation of land phase processes independent of HRU area

Printing output

SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool	SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool	
All output printed at simulation time step	User-defined time step for printing output for each object	Printing of output according to needs of user
Varying layout of output files	Standardized layout of output files in database format	Easy loading and editing in any text editor, spread-sheet or database program
Specification of additional print commands in fig.fig file	Specification of additional print commands in separate file	Easier printing of user- defined output files

Aquifers and reservoirs

SWAT Soil & Water Assessment Tool	SWAT+	Advantages of SWAT+
 Aquifers tied to HRUs Definition of one aquifer per HRU 	Aquifers independent from HRUs	 Any number of aquifers can be defined Facilitation of SWAT- MODFLOW linkage
 Placement of reservoirs on main channel at subbasin outlet 	 Placement of reservoirs anywhere in the watershed 	 More realistic representation of reservoir position and interactions with the landscape

Land cover and management



Spatial connections

