#### Large-scale, NHDPlus Resolution Watershed Modeling in the Western Lake Erie Basin Using SWAT

#### Haw Yen<sup>1</sup>, Michael J. White<sup>2</sup>, Prasad Daggupati<sup>3</sup>, S. Conor Keitzer<sup>4</sup>, Mari-Vaughn Johnson<sup>5</sup>, Mathew Herbert<sup>6</sup>, Jeffrey G. Arnold<sup>2</sup>, Scott Sowa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Blackland Research & Extension Center, Texas A&M University

- <sup>2</sup> Grassland, Soil & Water Research Laboratory, USDA-ARS
- <sup>3</sup> Spatial Science Laboratory, Texas A&M University

<sup>4</sup> Department of Evolution, Ecology, and Organismal Biology, Ohio State University

<sup>5</sup> USDA-NRCS

<sup>6</sup> The Nature Conservancy

October 15, 2015 International SWAT Conference 2015 Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana, USA



### Outline

- Overview
- Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT)
- Conservation Effects Assessment Project CEAP Wildlife
- Data Inputs & Model Setup
- Case Study & Results
- Conclusion & Future Work



### Overview



- Development of complex watershed models
  - Evaluate impact from climate changing, various human activities on issues such as:
    - Availability of water resources
    - Water quality
    - Watershed management
- Advanced technology in computer science
  - Complex watershed simulation models
    - Distributed in space & process-based
    - Long term simulations with large amount of input data
    - **FINER & FINER** resolution units for model simulation

### Soil and Water Assessment Tool (1/2)



- $\odot\,$  Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT)
  - Developed and maintained by USDA-ARS at Temple, Texas
    - Leading scientist Dr. Jeffrey G. Arnold
  - GIS interface supported by Texas A&M university
    - ArcSWAT
  - Large-scale watershed management & forecast
    - Surface/subsurface runoff
    - Sediment transportation
    - Nutrients processes (nitrogen, phosphorus)
    - Pesticide losses
    - Bacteria/pathogens
  - More than 2,000 journal articles in literature

### Soil and Water Assessment Tool (2/2)

- ⊙ SWAT Watershed System
  - Watershed is divided into subwatersheds connected by streams
  - Subwatersheds are further divided into HRUs (Hydrologic Response Units)
    - Landuse, soil type, slope
    - Calculation of flow & nutrients is conducted at HRC level
    - HRU data summed to each subwatershed
    - Channel routing, reservoir operation to the outlets



Γεχας Α&Μ



### Conservation Effects Assessment Project - CEAP Wildlife



### CEAP - General (1/2)



### • CEAP Initiative

- In response to the 80% increase of funds on the Farm Bills passed by the U.S. Congress in 2002
- Major Goal
  - To quantify the impact of conservation practices on actual hydrologic and water quality sponsored by government resources

### CEAP - General (2/2)



- CEAP Framework
  - Hydrologic Unit Model for the United States (HUMUS)
    - Agricultural Policy/Environmental eXtender (APEX) Field scale simulation
    - Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) Watershed scale simulation according to the outputs from APEX
- CEAP Family
  - CEAP Wildlife (West Lake Erie Basin) *Close to be done*
  - CEAP Cropland I (national scale) **Done**
  - CEAP Cropland II (national scale) *undergoing*

WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN

Home About

Team F

Resources Contact

Q



#### Western Lake Erie Basin Conservation Effects Assessment Project

CEAP projects assess how effective environmental conservation practices are at reducing the impacts of agriculture on the surrounding ecosystems to ensure that funding for these practices is distributed in a way that makes the most of available resources.





#### The Western Lake Erie Basin

The landscape of northwestern Ohio, northeastern Indiana and southern Michigan is marked mostly by agriculture, with farms of all sizes stretching across the Maumee River watershed and beyond.

Agriculture impacts watersheds because runoff from farm fields – from rain or snowmelt, for example – carries with it soil particles and nutrients from fertilizers. When that runoff enters local waterways, the sediment and nutrients are carried downstream and eventually end up in places like Lake Erie, but local waterways are impacted by those contaminants as well.



# CEAP Wildlife (2/4)



#### • Data Inputs & Model Setup *all credit belongs to Dr. Daggupati*

- Watershed characterization
  - Predefined subwatersheds and streams option in ArcSWAT used
  - HUC12: 30m DEM, 12 digit HUCs, NHD streams
  - NHDPlus:30m DEM, NHD plus watersheds, NHD plus streams
- Landuse data
  - 2010 and 2011 Cropland Data Layers (CDLs) combined to develop 30m landuse
- Soil data
  - STATSGO soil data at 1:250,000 scale
- Weather data
  - Daily precipitation & temperature data from 1960 to 2010
- Tile Drains
  - Agricultural area was given tile drainage system
- Management
  - Fertilizer application rates: Derived from Agricultural Census Yield and Fertilizer use data
  - Tillage: Derived from USGS -Conservation Technology Information Center (CTIC) Survey Data (conventional, ridge, reduced, mulch, no-till)
- More details in *Daggupati et al. (2015)*







### Results (1/4)





### Results (2/4)



- Water Quality Calibration & Validation
  - Sediment / Total Phosphorus / Total Nitrogen
    - Model parameters are *not* transferable from HUC12 ⇒ NHDPlus
    - 5 selected gauge stations for each subwatershed
    - Raisin / St. Joseph / St. Marys / Maumee / Sandusky
  - Simulation periods
    - Calibration 1990 1999
    - Validation 2000 2006
  - Soft data constraints (Yen et al. 2014a, b)
    - Denitrification < 50 kg/ha</li>
    - SSQ\_Ratio > 60%
  - Special SWAT revision
    - Handy helper for sediment
    - SPCON can be specified in each subwatershed
    - \*.rte files



### Results (3/4)



### ⊙ Water Quality Calibration & Validation



Final Statistics (NHDPlus)					
Station	Streamflow		Sediment	TP	TN
	NSE	PBIAS (%)	PBIAS (%)	PBIAS (%)	PBIAS (%)
Raisin	0.70/0.43	-11.76/-26.07	16.71/35.46	-3.55/-22.74	14.66/3.59
St. Joseph	0.73/0.74	22.70/18.66	-10.43/-20.3	5.33/4.95	-25.39/-68.71
St. Marys	0.54/0.43	17.94/25.25	17.99/19.57	6.52/9.42	8.23/21.00
Maumee	0.87/0.88	18.03/13.56	10.07/-10.59	8.42/3.42	16.87/13.27
Sandusky	0.82/0.75	18.67/7.00	18.63/-35.01	-12.78/0.61	-15.26/-12.37



## **Conclusion & Future Work**



### • WLEB NHDPlus SWAT Project

- Baseline scenario is done
  - SFSG
- Applications of conservation scenarios with USDA-NRCS
  - Erosion control
  - Nutrient management
- Associated biological analysis
  - Fish community health
  - Biologists from Ohio State University and Nature Conservancy
- Additional implementations
  - Climate change scenarios
  - Uncertainty analysis

### Reference



#### • WLEB Modeling

- Daggupati, P., H. Yen, M. J. White, R. Srinivasan, J. G. Arnold, S. C. Keitzer, S. P. and Sowa, (2015) "Impact of model development, calibration and validation decisions on hydrological simulations in West Lake Erie Basin." *Hydrological Processes*, doi: 10.1002/hyp.10536.
- Soft Data Implementations
  - Yen, H., X. Wang, D. G. Fontane, M. Arabi, R. D. Harmel (2014a) "A Framework for Propagation of Uncertainty Contributed by Input Data, Parameterization, Model Structure, and Calibration/Validation Data in Watershed Modeling." *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 54, pp. 211-221.
  - Yen, H., R. T. Bailey, M. Arabi, M. Ahmadi, M. J. White, J. G. Arnold (2014b) "The Role of Interior Watershed Processes in Improving Parameter Estimation and Performance of Watershed Models." *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 43(5), pp. 1601-1613.

### Acknowledgement



- This study was funded by:
  - US Department of Agriculture– National Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS) Conservation Effects Assessment Project (CEAP) – Wildlife and Cropland components.
- Please do not forget that USDA is an equal opportunity employer and provider!

# Thanks for your attention!

Haw Yen, Ph.D. Assistant Research Scientist hyen@brc.tamus.edu

