#### Modeling approach on resuspension of *E. coli* from streambed using Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT)

<u>Kyung Hwa Cho</u><sup>*a*</sup>, Seung Won Lee<sup>*a*</sup>, Yongeun Park<sup>*a*</sup>, Jung-Woo Kim<sup>*c*</sup>, Y. A. Pachepsky<sup>*d*</sup>, and Joon Ha Kim<sup>*a,b* \*</sup>

a, Schoolof Environmental Science and Engineering, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST), 261 Cheomdan-gwagiro, Buk-gu, Gwangju 500-712, South Korea

b, Sustainable Water Resource Technology Center, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST), 261 Cheomdan-gwagiro, Buk-gu, Gwangju 500-712, South Korea

c, Radioactive Waste Technology Development Division, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, 1045 Daedeok-daero Yuseong-gu Daejeon, 305-353, Rep. of Korea

d, USDA-ARS, Environmental Microbial and Food Safety Laboratory, 10300 Baltimore Avenue, Building 173, BARC-East, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA



2. Modeling Study on Fecal Indicator Bacteria

3. Modeling Approach using SWAT

4. Modeling Results

5. Conclusion

- Can we predict Fecal Indicator Bacteria (FIB) in natural surface waters ?





- 1. Several studies have investigated the sources, fate, and transport of FIB in waterbodies, and have revealed that the levels of FIB are significantly influenced by meteorological conditions (e.g., the solar intensity during dry weather )
- 2. Nonpoint sources such as soil leaching, surface runoff, and manure runoff have been considered important contributors to the fecal contamination of receiving waterbodies
- 3. Another potential source during wet weather is the resuspension of FIB from the sediment bed, where FIB is 1–3 orders of magnitude greater than that of the water column
- ➔ Understanding of the diverse fate and transport behavior of fecal-borne microorganisms is critical for public health risk assessment and management.

- Streambed sediment has been attracting attention as a reservoir for bacteria, including pathogenic strains.
- Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) has been augmented with a bacteria transport subroutine in SWAT2005 in which bacteria die-off is the only in-stream process
- Limited research has been performed using the SWAT 2005 model for predicting bacteria movement.
- Kim and Pachepsky (2010) modified SWAT module in terms of streambed *E. coli* release and deposition which were computed based on the sediment resuspension and deposition modules.

#### Modeling Study on the Fate and Transport of Bacteria

#### Modeling study I- Gwangju Creek

Wet weather condition1 (WW1)



Dry weather condition1 (DW1)

Hourly variations of Fecal Indicator Bacteria (FIB) concentrations

1. EC and ENT concentrations decreased with an increase of solar intensity, increased in the absence of solar intensity.

2. FIB concentration increased at the beginning of a storm, showed a peak with the first peak of rainfall intensity, and then fluctuated in response to the second and third peaks of rainfall intensity.

## Modeling study I-Gwangju Creek



Schematic of key processes incorporated in the FIB model

Cho et al (2010), Water Research

## Modeling study I-Gwangju Creek

Color contour plots of the observed and predicted spatiotemporal variations of EC and ENT concentrations



Nash-Sutcliffe model efficiency coefficient (NSE)

Sites	EC (n	=25 <sup>b</sup> )	ENT ( <i>n</i> =25)		
	Dry	Wet	Dry	Wet	
G2	0.70 <sup>a</sup>	-0.02	0.37	0.35	
G3	0.62	0.18	0.24	0.14	
G4	0.81	0.52	0.54	0.45	

1. NSE values in wet weather are lower than those of dry weather for each EC and ENT simulation

2. The relatively poorer FIB prediction in wet weather is probably due to the lack of accurate information for urban runoff and resuspension rates.

DW1

WW1

#### Cho et al (2010), Water Research

# Modeling study II- OPE<sub>3</sub> Creek





Study area at the USDA-ARS the OPE3 research site; (A) Manure applied, (B), (C), and (D): No manure applied.

Water dumping experiment: Quantification of resuspension of *E.coli* 

Cho and Pachepsky (In press) Journal of Hydrology

# Modeling study II- OPE<sub>3</sub> Creek

Hydrodynamic model: Saint-Venant equations

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = q \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{Q^2}{A}\right) + g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - g(S_o - S_f) = 0$$

groundwater upwelling

continuity equation

Moment equation

 FIB transport model: Advection-Dispersion-Reaction equation

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + R \frac{C_s}{h} - f \frac{v_s}{h} C - \frac{q}{A} C \qquad \qquad R = \begin{cases} R_e \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_c} - 1\right), & \tau \ge \tau_c \\ 0, & \tau < \tau_c \end{cases}$$

Dilution effect by groundwater upwelling

Cho and Pachepsky (In press) Journal of Hydrology

## Modeling study II- OPE<sub>3</sub> Creek



parameters for all reaches, dash line – simulations with reach- blue for the lowest values. specific parameter sets

Observed (circles) and simulated (lines) cumulative numbers of Spatiotemporal patterns of EC resuspension rate under artificial high flow event; E. coli cells that have passed monitoring sites during artificial vertical axis is the distance from monitoring site station 1; the color indicates high flow event; solid line – simulated with the same the velocity and E. coli resuspension rate ranging from red for the highest and

Cho and Pachepsky (In press) Journal of Hydrology

## **Bacteria Module in SWAT**



Limitations

• The current FIB model in SWAT is <u>oversimplified</u> to predict FIB in waterbody.

• The <u>solar intensity and resuspension</u> did not apply to compute the fate of FIB in water column.



Bacteria module in SWAT (Kim and Pachepsky, 2010, Ecological Modelling)

$$\begin{split} M_{B,res} = M_{s,res} \cdot C_{B,B} \\ & M_{B,res} = E. \ coli \ (CFU) \\ & M_{S,res} = the \ mass \ of \ resuspended \ sediment \ (ton) \\ & C_{B,B} = the \ E. \ coli \ concentration \ in \ streambed \ sediments \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{M_{B,free} + M_{B,sus} + M_{B,dep}}{M_{B,W}} = \frac{1 + K_P \cdot conc_{sed,sus} + K_P \cdot conc_{sed,dep}}{1 + K_P \cdot conc_{sed,i}} \\ & \frac{M_{B,W} = the \ E. \ coli \ suspended \ in \ stream \ water \ conc_{sed,i} = the \ concentration \ of \ suspended \ sediments \ conc_{sed,i} = the \ concentration \ of \ suspended \ sediments \ K_p = the \ partitioning \ coefficient \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} M_{B,dep} = M_{B,W} \cdot \frac{K_P \cdot M_{S,dep}}{Q + K_P \cdot M_{S,W}} \\ & M_{B,W} = the \ number \ of \ E. \ coli \ deposited \ deposited$$

CL=the percentage of clay in sediment

New Bacteria module in SWAT

$$k_t = k_n + k_s \Box I(t)$$

 $k_{t,} = total \ die - off \ rate$   $k_{n} = natural \ die - off \ rate$   $k_{s} = solar \ intensity \ coefficient$  $I(t) = daily \ averaged \ solar \ intensity$ 

$$k_t = k_t \cdot \theta^{T-20}$$

*T*= *Water temperature* 

 $\theta$  = Temperature adjustment factor

$$bact_{rch,j} = bact_{rch,j-1} \cdot \exp(-k_t)$$

 $Bact_{rch,j-1} = bacteria \ concentration \ in \ j-1 \ day$  $Bact_{rch,j} = bacteria \ concentration \ in \ j \ day$ 

Sensitivity analysis and auto-calibration (MATLAB)

Sensitivity analysis

auto-calibration



# **Modeling Results**

### Study area: Komacwon Creek

#### South Korea



Description of the Komacwon Creek (KMC) catchment

Komacwon Creek catchment characteristics	unit	value
Total length	km	36
Watershed area	km <sup>2</sup>	217.05
Forest-Evergreen	ha	7258.76
Rice	ha	5977.06
Soybean	ha	3463.23
Forest-Mixed	ha	1283.54
Residential-High Density	ha	640

Study area-the Komacwon Creek (KMC) catchment

#### Modeling Results-sensitivity analysis (hydrologic module)

Parameter	Min	Max	Rank	S	Value	Definition	process
CN2	-70	50	1	7.70E-01	70.18	Initial SCS runoff curve number for moisture condition II	Runoff
CH_K2	0	150	2	2.84E-01	150	[CH_K(2)] Effective hydraulic conductivity in main channel alluvium (mm/hr)	Channel
SURLAG	0	10	3	2.48E-01	0.28	Surface runoff lag coefficient	Runoff
ALPHA_BF	0	1	4	2.11E-01	0.93	Baseflow alpha factor-Baseflow recession constant	Groundwater
SOL_Z	-50	50	5	1.03E-01		Depth from soil surface to bottom of layer (mm)	Soil
CH_N	-20	20	6	8.03E-02	0.10	Manning's "n" value	Channel
ESCO	0	1	7	7.98E-02	0.00	Soil evaporation compensation factor	Evaporation
SOL_AWC	-50	50	8	5.04E-02		Available water capacity of the soil layer (mm H2O/mm soil)	Soil
SLSUBBSN	-50	50	9	2.45E-02		Average slope length (m)	Geomorphology
CANMX	0	15	10	2.19E-02		Maximum canopy storage (mm H2O)	Runoff
SLOPE	-50	50	11	2.10E-02		[HRU_SLP] Average slope steepness (m/m)	Geomorphology
SOL_K	-50	50	12	1.98E-02		Saturated hydraulic conductivity (mm/hr)	Soil
GWQMN	0	5000	13	6.21E-03		Threshold depth of water in the shallow aquifer for return flow (mm H2O)	Soil
EPCO	-50	50	14	5.36E-03		Plant uptake compensation factor	Evaporation
TIMP	0.01	1	15	5.35E-03		Snow pack temperature lag factor	Snow
BIOMIX	0	1	16	4.13E-03		Biological mixing efficiency	Soil
SMFMX	0	10	17	2.04E-03		Melt factor for snow on June 21 (mm H2O/°C-day)	Snow
RCHRG_DP	0	1	18	1.91E-03		Deep aquifer percolation fraction	Groundwater
SMTMP	0	5	19	1.63E-03		Snow melt base temperature (°C)	Snow
SFTMP	0	5	20	1.43E-03		Snowfall temperature (°C)	Snow
GW_DELAY	0	100	21	5.55E-04		Groundwater delay time (days)	Groundwater
SOL_ALB	0	1	22	4.92E-04		Moist soil albedo	Evaporation
SMFMN	0	10	23	9.16E-05		Melt factor for snow on December 21(mm H2O/°C-day)	Snow
GW_REVAP	0.02	0.2	24	6.97E-05		Groundwater "revap" coefficient	Groundwater
REVAPMN	0	500	28	0.00E+00		Threshold depth of water in the shallow aquifer for percolation to the deep aquifer (mm H2O)	Groundwater
TLAPS	-50	50	28	0.00E+00		Temperature lapse rate (°C/km)	Geomorphology
BLAI	-50	50	28	0.00E+00		Maximum potential leaf area index	Crop

### **Modeling Results-flow rates**



|--|

RMSE	NSE	RMSE	NSE
[m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	[-]	[m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	[-]
4.16	0.89	4.04	0.85

### Modeling Results-Sensitivity analysis (without resuspension)

#### Sensitive parameters among 24 parameters for E. coli simulation

	Parameter	Min	Max	Definition
Rank 1	NATDIEP	0	1	Natural die-off rate [1/s]
Rank 2	WDPRCH	0	1	Solar intensity coefficient [m <sup>2/</sup> MJ/day]
Rank 3	FILTERW	0	2	Width of edge-of-field filter strip (m)
Rank 4	PHU_PLT	1000	2000	Total number of heat units or growing degree days needed to bring plant to maturity (days)
Rank 5	USLE_P	0.1	1.0	USLE equation support practice factor
Rank 6	LAI_INIT	0	1	Initial leaf area index
Rank 7	Bio_TRMP	10	100	Dry weight of biomass trampled daily (kg/day/ha)

### Modeling Results-Sensitivity analysis (with resuspension)

#### Sensitive parameters among 24 parameters for E. coli simulation

	Parameter	Min	Max	Definition
Rank 1	PRF	0	1	Peak rate adjustment factor for calculating the channel sediment routing
Rank 2	ADJ_PKR	0	1	Peak rate adjustment factor for sediment routing in the subbasin (tributary channels)
Rank 3	NATDIEP	0	1	Natural die-off rate [1/s]
Rank 4	SPCON	0.0001	0.01	Linear parameter for calculating the channel sediment routing
Rank 5	SPEXP	1.0	1.5	Exponent parameter for calculating the channel sediment routing
Rank 6	WDPRCH	0	1	Solar intensity coefficient [m <sup>2</sup> /MJ/day]
Rank 7	CH_EROD	0	1	Channel erodibility factor
Rank 8	CH_COV	0	1	Channel cover factor

#### Modeling Results – *E. coli* simulation



Root-mean-squared error (RMSE) and Nash-Sutcliffe model efficiency (NSE) coefficient

	E. coli		
	RMSE·10 <sup>2</sup>	NSE	
	[MPN]	[-]	1
Calibration	3.01	-0.46	2
Validation	2.82	-0.43	3
Calibration	2.97	0.13	
Validation	2.79	0.39	
	Calibration Validation Calibration Validation	E. coliRMSE·10² [MPN]Calibration3.01 2.82Calibration2.82Calibration2.97 2.97Validation2.79	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline $E$ coli \\ \hline $RMSE \cdot 10^2$ NSE \\ $[MPN]$ [-] \\ \hline $Calibration$ 3.01$ -0.46 \\ \hline $Validation$ 2.82$ -0.43 \\ \hline $Calibration$ 2.97$ 0.13 \\ \hline $Validation$ 2.79$ 0.39 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

Insufficient information on wildlife

The amount of Manure application

The uncertainty in estimation

of sediment EC concentration

#### Conclusion

 Overall, the SWAT model modified with the streambed *E. coli* release and deposition and solar intensity modules showed better performance in predicting *E. coli* concentration in stream water as compared to the original SWAT model.

 Although the an error in the performance of the modified SWAT model found, this study demonstrate the significance of EC release from streambed and deposition die-off by solar intensity for the SWAT microbial module.

#### Future works

- Uncertainty of parameters associated with streambed
- Shear stress concept for resuspension
- Hourly and sub-hourly simulation for E. coli
- Coupling with bacteria module in CE-QUAL-W2
- Bacteria-associated BMP

# Thank you