

Effects of input data resolution on SWAT simulations

a case study at the Ems river Basin (Northwest Germany)

<u>Gerd Schmidt</u>, Martin Volk, Stefan Liersch and Martin Steinert UFZ – Centre for Environmental Research, Department of Applied Landscape Ecology, Permoserstraße 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany



- Research Programme River Basin Management Funding - German Ministry of Education and Research Goal - Strategies for Implementation of the EU WFD
- Main Goal

good ecological situation of all waterbodies at the territorry of the EU - surface and groundwater

• FLUMAGIS

Development of DSS tool for river basin management Visualisation of Management Measures and their effects to water bodies http://www.flumagis.de

 Integration of simulation models in a DSS tool (visualisation) participation of all stakeholders calculation time scale specific data requirements £

3rd International SWAT-Conference Zürich, July 12th – 15th 2005







OUR CONTRIBUTIONS

- Derivation of indicators to assess hydrological situation
- Development of a scale system and methods for scale transfer
- Water and Nutrient Balance
 description and assessment of current situation
- Developing future land use scenarios Landscape planning programs, EU Funding, Nature Conservation plans.....
- Predicting effects of land use change to hydrological situation and water quality

SCALE DEFINITION

- EU WFD "Report Scale" 1 : 500.000
- River Basin Management Planning Measures an Efficiency control ?
- Scale definitions in Geography, Hydrology, Landscape Ecology, Biology, Regional Planning – different ideas!



QUESTIONS

- What are the scale equivalent/appropriate input data sets?
- Leads higher input data resolution to better simulation results?
- Which spatial resolution is suitable for derivation of different hydrological indicators? (seasonal, monthly averages; peaks, yields....)
- Can be figured out a critical catchment size for SWAT modelling?





UPPER EMS RIVER BASIN



- Poor sandy soils, high ground water influence
- Rainfall 600mm SW
 1200mm E



Land use characteristics



Land use distribution

- Tillage food production
- Stock farming
- Milk and meat production
- Highest live stock numbers
- High amount of liquid manure





HYDROLOGICAL AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

- 17 Gauges 10 Usable for Calibration
- 600 sampling points only two with 2 weeks random sampling mostly not connected with gauges



- Main problem: N input
- Target for "good ecological quality"

14 mg/l TIN 3 mg/l TIN



DATA BASE AND METHODS

- Official available data collected by the governmental departments and the national surveys, but...
- Comfortable situation two data sets with different spatial resolution for the same area (DEM, SOIL, LAND USE)
- Using SWAT with the AVS2000 extension watershed delineation and HRU distribution with the same settings
- Parametrisation of land use and plant parameters with regional information
- Simulations at different temporal resolution
- Comparing efficiency



DATA BASE AND METHODS

Data set	Low resolution	High resolution
DEM	200m grid	50m grid
Soil	BÜK1000 – 1:1,000,000 21 soil types, (Federal Institute for Geoscience and Mineral Ressources)	BK50 1:50,000 854 soil types (Geological Survey of NRW and LS)
Land use	Corine Land Cover – aggregated 5 land use types	Topographic Information system 24 land use types (ATKIS-DLM)
Climate	regional stations of German weather survey 5 fully equiped 21 precipitation stations	regional stations of German weather survey 5 fully equiped 21 precipitation stations

- Agricultural management practice, crop rotations
- Waste water input 256 (urban and industrial)

3rd International SWAT-Conference Zürich, July 12th – 15th 2005

WATERSHED DELINEATION



	Low resolution	High resolution	Difference
Catchment size in km ²	3695	3785	90
Number of subbasins	62	76	10

رک

3rd International SWAT-Conference Zürich, July 12th – 15th 2005

GAUGED SUBCATCHMENTS





HRU GENERATION





	Low resolution	High resolution	Difference
Soil types	21	854	833
Land use classes	5	24	
Number of HRU's	387	1950	1563

- Soil parametrization
- Management practices, crop rotation



for

Т

UFZ

GAUGE RHEINE





Research

Good description of monthly dynamic



Average discharge at Gauge Rheda (342 km²) based on monthly calculations



Average discharge at Gauge Rheine (3740km²) based on monthly calculations



DYNAMIC AT DAYLY SIMULATION



Gauge Rheda (342 km²)

Gauge Haskenau (1616 km²)





Research

Environmental

for

Center

UFZ

1,000 E-mlr E-mhr Nasch-Suttcliff-Efficiency 0,800 0.600 0,400 0,200 0,000 Rheine Einen Langen Ahlen Roxel Rheda Albersloh Coermühle Haskenau Ladbergen

Comparison of model efficiencies at main gauges based on monthly simulations



Comparison of model efficiencies at main gauges based on dayly simulations

3rd International SWAT-Conference Zürich, July 12th – 15th 2005





Relation between catchment size and simulation quality



catchment size - model efficiency – relation with low resoluted data set based on monthly calculations



Catchment size – model efficiency – relation with high resoluted data set based on monthly calculations

CONCLUSIONS

- Effects of input data resolution to simulation quality depends depends on time steps dayly, monthly, yearly
- Use of data sets and parametrisation efforts should focus on the questions to be answered
- Decreasing of model efficiency with catchment size, but..... it could vary on physical conditions of the catchments unknown management operations

 Including more gauged catchments with other physical conditions and of different size



GAUGED SUBCATCHMENTS