Soil erosion evaluation and multitemporal analysis in two Brazilian basins

Paolo Barsanti ¹ Leonardo Disperati ² Pietro Marri ¹ Antonino Mione ¹

ENEA – National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Environment
 Department of Hearth Science - University of Siena



FRAMEWORK



INCO-DC Project: "Geo - environmental dynamics of Pantanal - Chaco: multitemporal study and previsional modelling"

Partners:

University of Siena (Coordinator - Italy) ENEA Pisa (Italy) National Zootechnical Station (Portugal) University of Curitiba (Brazil) National University of Asuncion (Paraguay)



LOCALIZAZION



The Pantanal is one of the widest wetlands of the world (it extends approximately 120.000 Km², across the Mato Grosso and Mato Grosso do Sul Brasilian states)



Land cover GIS and DEM realisation

- In Brazil topographic maps provide land cover data based on aerial photos acquired from 1964 to 1966.
- ✤ The GIS of the following themes could be built:
 - land cover (1966)
 - elevation
 - hydrography
 - roads







SWAT INPUTS (LANDUSE)





SwatLandUseClass AGRL: Agricultural land FRSD: Deciduous Forest RNGB: Cerrado RNGE: Natural grass URML: Urban med-low fabric WATR: Water body WETN: Wetland no forest

60

90

120 Kilometers

🗸 River





SWAT INPUTS (Agr. manag/

Rotation Soybean – Soybean – Corn (3 years)

Year 1-2 1st March 20th April 1st November 10th November 11th and 20th November 21st November 6th and 20th December

E A

R

9 8 5

9 9

6

Pesticide application (insecticide) Corn (Soya bean) harvest and kill Tillage operation (plowing) Fertiliser application Tillage operation (harrowing) Soya bean planting Pesticide application (herbicide + insecticide)

Year 3 15th May 20th October 1st November 2nd November 16th November 17th November 18th November 28th November

Soya bean harvest and kill Tillage operation (plowing) Fertiliser application Tillage operation (harrowing) Fertiliser application Tillage operation (harrowing) Corn planting Pesticide application (herbicide)

- Model calibration was performed in three steps:
- **1. Run-off and Total stream flow:** Using ANEEL (Agencia Nacional de Energia Eletrica) data
- 2. Evapotranspiration: using PCBAP Project data
- **3. Sediments flow:** using literature and PCBAP Project data

Step 1: Run-off and total streamflow

- a. Acquisition of total flow data from ANEEL;
- b. Use of USGS HYSEP software to calculate run-off and base flow from total flow;
- c. SWAT run and confrontation with HYSEP results;
- d. Use of Calibration Tool to modify Curve Number, Available Water Capacity and Soil Evaporation compensation factor;
- e. Iteration of steps c-d until satisfactory match reached for both run-off and total flow.





50 0

JAN

SEP

 $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{A}$

JAN

 $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{A}$

JAN

 $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{A}$

SEP

SEP

JAN МΑ JAN

 $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{A}$

SEP

SEP

R U N 0

F F R E S T Γ. T S





Step 3: Evapotranspiration

Performed in order to optimize the water balance;
 Modified parameters: groundwater "revap" coefficient (also influences run-off) and threshold depth in the shallow aquifer for "revap" to occur;
 Confrontation data coming from PCBAP Project.

Step 3: Evapotranspiration (results)



Correlation factors (R²): 0.85 for Rio Taquarizinho and 0.90 for Rio Aquidauana



Step 4: Sediment flow

- Skio Taquarizinho: Confrontation data was computed from literature measurements of sediment flow on similar watersheds.
- Skio Aquidauana: Confrontation data came from PCBAP monthly averages.
- Solution Modified parameters: factors used to calculate the sediment reentrainment in channel routing phase (linear and exponential parameters).

Rio Taquarizinho

Rio Aquidauana

SIMULATIONS RESULTS



SIMULATIONS RESULTS





MULTITEMPORAL ANALYS

Target: to investigate some of the links between humaninduced land use modifications and the soil erosion trend.

Limitations: it is not always easy to compare the results of different simulation periods, since also natural phenomena play an important role. For example the greater amounts of the runoff and the soil loss in the 1978-1982 period (see Tab) is strictly linked to the total amount of rainfall in this period. A comparison of parameters (runoff, revap, evapotranspiration, total soil loss) don't give useful elements for a multitemporal analysis because they are also influenced by the rainfall.

Rio Aquidauana





MULTITEMPORAL ANALYSIS

| A Charles Ma | de no de Caracia de Con | Landuse Scenarios | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | 1966 | 1985 | 1996 |
| "Anthropised" Land Uses | Surface (ha) | 7,160 | 43,020 | 103,210 |
| | Total soil loss (t) | 309,672 | 488,774 | 467,705 |
| | Specific soil loss (t/ha) | 43.25 | 11.36 | 4.53 |
| "Natural" Land Uses | Surface (ha) | 141,860 | 106,000 | 45,810 |
| | Total soil loss (t) | 18,686 | 542,471 | 31,693 |
| | Specific soil loss (t/ha) | 0.13 | 5.12 | 0.69 |
| "Anthropised" Land Uses | Surface (ha) | 3,014 | 48,222 | 572,846 |
| | Total soil loss (t) | 5,632 | 908,297 | 1,167,524 |
| | Specific soil loss (t/ha) | 1.87 | 18.8 | 2.21 |
| "Natural" Land Uses | Surface (ha) | 1,570,742 | 1,525,479 | 1,046,741 |
| | Total soil loss (t) | 2,472,388 | 3,315,230 | 656,492 |
| | Specific soil loss (t/ha) | 1.57 | 2.17 | 0.63 |



Rio Aquidauana

MULTITEMPORAL ANALYS

Rio Taquarizinho: Conclusions.

- Solution Symplexic Sym
- ♦ The deforested areas became mainly pasture areas (Brachiaria grass). In the 1969-1972 period the Brachiaria grass doesn't appear, so the pasture areas were codified as natural grass.
- The extension of the agricultural areas is relatively small, about 5% of the total basin, with no significant variation in the three simulation periods. The relevant differences in specific soil loss for the 1969-1972 period are caused by the differences in soil type and management for the agricultural activities as regards to the other periods (crops rotation in place of single crop).
- Solution Solution

MULTITEMPORAL ANALYS

Rio Aquidauana: Conlusions

- ♦A significant decrease of "Cerrado" from 72% (1978-1982) to 55% (1994-1998) of the total basin area.
- Solution State State
- She deforested areas became mainly pasture areas (Brachiaria grass). In the period 1968-1972 the pasture areas were natural grass.
- ✤ The extension of the agricultural areas (AGRL) is relatively small. It varies from about 0.2% of the total basin area in the 1968-1972 period, to about 6% of the total basin area in the 1994-1998 period.

Step 4: Sediment flow

Rio Aquidauana results





Step 4: Sediment flow

Rio Taquarizinho procedure:

- a. Acquisition of literature data on sediments loads and stream flows in Rio Taquarì streams (period 1995-1997);
- b. Calculation of coefficients a and b of the correlation between suspended sediments loads (Q_s, t/d) and stream flow (P, m³/s): $\ln Q_s = a + b \cdot \ln P$ using the above data;
- c. Evaluation of mean annual Rio Taquarizinho water flow at outlet by means of the conservation of the specific flow measured at an intermediate gauge station; calculation of mean annual *suspended* sediment flow by the previous correlation (point b.);
- d. Evaluation of mean annual *total* sediment load from the ratios "total load / Suspended load" taken from literature data at point a;
- e. Confrontation with SWAT results;



SWAT INPUTS (SOILS)

Legend Rio Aquidauana:

AQa – Quartzose alic sandstone (22.5%)

PVa – Yellow-red podzolic alic (0.9%)

PVd – Yellow-red podzolic distrophic (9.8%)

LEa – Dark red alic latosol (18.8%)

LRd – Red distrophic latosol (32.6%)

LVa – Yellow-red alic latosol (0.3)

HGPd – Little humic "glei" distrophic (3.4%) HGPe – Little humic "glei" eutrophic (1.7%) Ra – Litholic alic (9.3%)

 \mathbf{V} – Vertisol (0.7)

Legend Rio Taquarizinho:

AQa – Quartzose alic sandstone (61%)
LEa – Dark red alic latosol (16%)
PVe – Yellow-red podzolic eutrophic (15%)
Ra – Litholic alic (8%)

Note: Alic = rich of Al



MULTITEMPORAL ANALYSIS

| | Simulation periods | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|--|
| Parameters | 1968-1972 | 1978-1982 | 1994-1998 | 1978-1982 l.u. 1996 | |
| Rainfall (mm) | 1,264.9 | 1,528.0 | 994.8 | 1,528.0 | |
| Runoff (mm) | 50.48 | 80.98 | 26.70 | 87.75 | |
| Total soil loss (t/y) | 67,000 | 118,000 | 44,000 | 143,600 | |
| Tot. stream flow (mm) | 106.32 | 253.07 | 40.51 | 248.4 | |

Rio Aquidauana

