Enhancement of Tile and Pothole Flow Components in SWAT: *Application to the Walnut Creek Watershed, Iowa* 

A. Saleh, D. Bing, J.G. Arnold, and D.B. Jaynes

### BJECTIVES

To enhance the SWAT model with new tile drainage and pothole surface storage components (SWAT-M)

Evaluated the SWAT-M using measured data from Walnut Creek watershed (WCW) under the baseline and scenario conditions

## METHODS AND MATERIALS



## Walnut Creek Watershed (WCW)

- 5130 ha WCW, located in Story county, central lowa
- 78% corn and soybeans
  - About 66% tile drained and 57% of the total surface runoff directly flowed into potholes
  - 10% pothole area



Subbasins, sites and measurement gages in WCW

Tiaer



Distributions of subsurface drains and streams across WCW













TiAER



### Monitoring

- Stream flow for sites 330 and 310
- Tile and stream flow for sites 210 and 220
- Precipitation and temperature data from 17 measured sites

# Field site surface flume and drainage line monitoring stations





# Stream gauging station with weir located on Walnut Creek.







### SWAT Model

SWAT is a continuous-time (daily time-step) model which allows data input via GIS. SWAT was developed to predict the effect of different management scenarios on water quality, sediment yields, and pollutant loadings at watershed-level

## **SWAT - M Modifications**

- Depression storage water balance was modified
- Restrictive soil layer
- Soil profile saturation pattern
- Water table depth calculation
- Pothole/HRU orientation

## SWAT2000 and SWAT-M Simulations

- Calibration Period (1991-1995)
- Validation Period (1996-1998)
- Scenario (1992-2000)

## Scenario

- Beginning in 1997, the LSNT (Late Spring Nitrogen Test) N-fertilizer management program was simulated within sub-basin 220
- The LSNT program consisted of applying an initial 56 kg/ha application of N at or shortly before planting. After the corn plants had grown to a height of 15- to 30-cm (typically mid-June), soil samples were taken and analyzed for  $NO_3$  content to determine the required rate of N to apply by sidedressing

# The annual rates of averaged nitrogen fertilizer application

|       | YEAR |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Sites | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| All   | 162  | 149  | 153  | 148  | 164  | 164  | 164  | 164  | 187  | 182  |
| 220   | 162  | 149  | 153  | 148  | 164  | 164  | 168* | 118* | 174* | 109* |

\* Split application based on LSNT (Late Spring Nitrogen Test) treatment (56 kg/ha applied before planting and the rest was applied during June application) (Hatfield et al., 1999; Jaynes et al., 2003).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION



## Water balance comparison

|         |        | ET       | mr       | Stream Discharge |          |          |  |
|---------|--------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Year    | SWAT-M | SWAT2000 | Measured | SWAT-M           | SWAT2000 | measured |  |
| 1992    | 430.4  | 550.6    | 500.0    | 277.5            | 127.4    | 271.0    |  |
| 1993    | 507.9  | 535.6    | 370.0    | 636.1            | 442.4    | 865.0    |  |
| 1994    | 497.3  | 572.8    | 440.0    | 129.4            | 98.3     | 69.0     |  |
| 1995    | 479.3  | 545.1    | 430.0    | 178.3            | 101.9    | 178.0    |  |
| Average | 478.7  | 551.0    | 435.0    | 305.3            | 192.5    | 345.8    |  |



# verage and standard deviation (in parenthesis) of total monthly flow during calibration

|      | m 3/seg     |             |             |  |  |  |
|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Site | SWAT2000    | Measured    | SWAT-M      |  |  |  |
| 210  | 0.04 (0.05) | 0.04 (0.06) | 0.06 (0.07) |  |  |  |
| 220  | 0.03 (0.03) | 0.03 (0.04) | 0.04 (0.04) |  |  |  |
| 310  | 0.16 (0.20) | 0.27 (0.43) | 0.25 (0.29) |  |  |  |
| 330  | 0.34 (0.41) | 0.56 (0.87) | 0.49 (0.57) |  |  |  |



# verage and standard deviation (in parenthesis) of monthly NO<sub>3</sub>-N during calibration

|      | Kg              |                    |                     |  |  |  |  |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Site | SWAT2000        | Measured           | SWAT-M              |  |  |  |  |
| 210  | 13.8 (42.6)     | 543.3 (1,178.3)    | 1,370.3 (2,300.4)   |  |  |  |  |
| 220  | 18.5 (66.3)     | 557.3 (997.8)      | 652.0 (1,003.5)     |  |  |  |  |
| 310  | 71.0 (130.7)    | 4,143.3 (7,298.5)  | 6,291.9 (9,951.0)   |  |  |  |  |
| 330  | 316.7 (1,046.4) | 8,313.9 (15,290.8) | 10,187.1 (15,823.9) |  |  |  |  |



# Average monthly Flow During Calibration - Site 330



Flow (m³/s)

**TiAER** 

Month

# Average Monthly Flow During Calibration - Site 220



#### Average Monthly Sub-surface Flow During Calibration Site-220



### NO<sub>3</sub> During Calibration - Site 330



### NO<sub>3</sub> During Calibration - Site 220



# Simulated NO3-N reduction under LSNT treatment at site 220.



## Conclusions (1 of 3)

- The modification of tile drain and pothole components of SWAT resulted in better prediction of water balance components for such conditions
- The Avg. and trend of monthly and daily total and subsurface flows predicted by SWAT-M, compared to SWAT 2000, were closer to measured values during both calibration and validation periods at all sites





## Conclusions (2 of 3)

- The Avg. monthly Nitrate-N predicted by SWAT2000 were much lower than the measured values
- The patterns of predicted Nitrate-N by SWAT-M were much closer to those of measured values



## Conclusions (3 of 3)

- The SWAT-M was able to predict the effect of N-management scenario similar to what was measured during field study
- The modifications regarding tiles and potholes will be incorporated into SWAT 2003

## **Ongoing Project**

- More modification of SWAT to better address pesticides loading in Walnut Creek Watershed.
- Collection of monitoring data such organic nitrogen and Phosphorous (soluble and organic) for model validation.





## Thank You

